

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105215
Title of the Manuscript:	Pathogenicity of Beauveria bassiana (Balsamo) Vuillemin isolate (TNAU ENT BB1) against rice leaf folder, Cnaphalocrocis medinalis (Guenee)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>This manuscript has some problems as not mentioning the proper method of identification of fungal culture isolated and not mentioning the proper stage of larva of leaf folder etc.</p> <p>Experiments have been conducted in <i>in-vitro</i> (Laboratory) condition so it is must to mention it in the title.</p> <p>Overall comprehension of the manuscript is good.</p> <p>According to the journal format is okay.</p> <p>There are some word mistakes and grammar mistakes observed.</p> <p>There is no uniformity in references. Major corrections in references are needed viz., no italics in journal names, Not arranged year wise, Not Arrange alphabetically, spelling mistakes etc.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sonal J. Vaja
Department, University & Country	P.P. Savani University, India