

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105215
Title of the Manuscript:	Pathogenicity of Beauveria bassiana (Balsamo) Vuillemin isolate (TNAU ENT BB1) against rice leaf folder, Cnaphalocrocis medinalis (Guenee)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. Because managing leaf folder was difficult. Using this findings it must be useful for scientific community to get new outcome</p> <p>Ok</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>After 2018 no references given so please try to add in manuscript</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Fine	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Add outcome in abstract</p> <p>Mention the year work was carriedout</p> <p>Give male female ratio numbers of leaf folder in oviposition cage</p> <p>Mention probit analysis software name used</p> <p>How field review compared with laboratory results in discussion</p> <p>What is the recommendation from the result in conclusion</p> <p>After 2018 no updated references, so add some new references</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Balamurugan S
Department, University & Country	Annamalai University, India