

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_104490
Title of the Manuscript:	Advancing Data-Driven Decision-Making in Smart Cities through Big Data Analytics: A Comprehensive Review Existing Literature
Type of the Article	Literature Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) YES</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) YES</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? YES</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? NO (See the review comments on the right)</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? YES</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. Yes , but have to be re-arranged (See the review comments on the right)</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- English language corrections (as shown in bold Red Colours in the original attached manuscript) should be considered.</p> <p>2- abbreviations (as shown in bold blue colour in the original attached manuscript) should be given explicitly.</p> <p>3- re- arrange reference (as shown in bold green colour in the original attached manuscript) should be given in there right position in alphabetic order.</p> <p>4- first of all of the above notes , sections and sub- sections (shown as underlined in the original attached manuscript) <u>Should Be Re-Arranged As Follows:</u></p> <p>1- INTRODUCTION</p> <p>1.1- Background of the Problem</p> <p>1.2- Previous Attempts to Examine the Issue of Smart Cities ...</p> <p>1.3- Techniques for Handling Big Data in Smart Cities</p> <p>1.4- Algorithms for Handling Big Data in Smart Cities</p> <p>1.5- Architectures for Handling Big Data in Smart Cities</p> <p>2- LITERATURE REVIEW</p> <p>2.1- Limited Focus on the Capabilities of Big Data Analytics ...</p> <p>2.2- Development of Low-Cost Smart Traffic Systems for...</p> <p>2.3- Managing Urban Data for Improved City Policies and...</p> <p>2.4- Challenges of Big Data in Smart City</p> <p>2.5- Data Management for Efficient Service Delivery in Smart Cities</p> <p>2.6- Importance of Choosing Appropriate Sensors in Smart Cities ...</p> <p>2.7- Challenges in Designing, Developing, and Deploying Sensors ...</p> <p>2.8- Managing Diverse Unstructured Data in Smart Cities Big ...</p> <p>2.9- Identifying Suitable Data Mining Methods For Smart City</p> <p>2.10- Research Questions</p> <p>3- METHODOLOGY</p> <p>3.1- Data Analysis</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	4- CONCLUSION 5- REFERENCES	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p style="color: red; text-align: center;">No (See No. 4 of the review comments on the right)</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p style="color: red; text-align: center;"><i>All the given review comments should be considered before acceptance for publication.</i></p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Eldaghmah
Department, University & Country	College of Criminal Justice, Naif Arab University for Security Sciences, Saudi Arabia