

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_102329
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Micronutrients in Male Infertile Patient having Abnormal Semen Parameter
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjgo.com/index.php/ARJGO/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1- <b>yes, male subfertility is increasing nowadays and anti-oxidants have been increasingly used for this purpose</b></li> <li>2- <b>better to be : The role of anti-oxidants in improving semen parameters in sub-fertile males with idiopathic sub-fertility</b></li> <li>3- <b>yes, some changes need to be done as highlighted in yellow color</b></li> <li>4- <b>yes</b></li> <li>5- <b>to some degree yes</b></li> <li>6- <b>yes but some are too old since 1960,,,1970...1980, need to be updated.</b></li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1- What is the type of micronutrient was given?? From the study I think anti-oxidants,,,what is the type ??single item or multiple items ?dose ?oral? suspension?syrup? is it FDA approved?</li> <li>2- The authors said that the assessed the count and motility? What about concentration, immotily and morphology? How can they exclude abnormal morphology as most patients with oligo and astheno have terato-spermia as well ?so, why excluded?together anti-oxidants also have a role in treating infection so what about semen viscosity and round cells??need to be also studied.</li> <li>3- About the included males,, are they complained of infertility ? if yes, what is the type ?have they any children? Duration of subfertility ?/all need to be illustrated</li> <li>4- Why the drug given only for 3 months??it is better to be given for at least 6 months and a comparison done betwwen the 2 periods to get the exact duration of the treatment that produce the best improvement.</li> <li>5- How the authors exclude obstructive causes of subfertility??are vasography &gt; transurethral ultrasound were done? Please illustrate</li> <li>6- It is better to divide the patients into sub-groups those with mild impairment and those with moderate impairment and study the effect of treatment in the two and see who can get the best results from the treatment</li> <li>7- What about sever impairments? why not studied ??please explain</li> <li>8- Conclusion need to be re-wrote to be to the points of the aim of the study.</li> <li>9- Material and methods need to be changed and discuss all the parameters that mentioned above(type of drug. Dose, included and excluded males?</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ali M. Kadim Al-Tuma</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Kerbala, College of Medicine, Iraq</b>