

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_104066
Title of the Manuscript:	Independence of 3–4-year-olds: observations from some preschools in Vietnam
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is very relevant in particular to the psychology of human development, social psychology, child development</p> <p>Yes, "The Lived Experiences of Preschool Children Ages 3–4: The Practices of Independence in the Case Some Pre School in Vietnam " The writer must include major findings and conclusions.</p> <p>The discussion section is missing, and the writer should include this section.</p> <p>Yes, the pilot's result on the instrument should be described</p> <p>The writer should add more references</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The writer used simple and clear language</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The writer has selected a very important title; however, he could have used further methods such as visiting home collecting data on indoor and outdoor games.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> The writer did not included ethical issues should be confirmed a head of publication</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tolera Negassa Danki
Department, University & Country	Arsi University, Ethiopia