

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_101997
Title of the Manuscript:	PHARMACOGNOSTIC EVALUATION OF THE LEAVES OF <i>Coccinia barteri</i> HOOK F (CUCURBITACEAE)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it is considered important because this research shows a way to make plant species useful based on their organoleptic, physicochemical, and phytochemical properties, among others, to strengthen the possible usefulness of products obtained from plants that until certain times have not been used.</p> <p>in the pharmacological field because they were not clear about its composition and other properties of great use for health</p> <p>Yes, it is quite related to the research proposed</p> <p>Yes, the way in which it is structured is understandable, although it does not have the blocky form in which it is always requested according to APA standards.</p> <p>Yes, it has the appropriate structure because in the introduction it talks about what is wanted and gives an outline of the theory about the plant, in the methodology they explain step by step each technique or method applied for the determination of each property of interest of the plant. leaf of the plant, in addition to the results with evidence of each result in addition to the conclusions and the references cited to support the investigation</p> <p>for the scientific community that is interested in the usefulness of plants for the production of pharmaceuticals and other medical applications</p> <p>Due to the amount cited, they may be sufficient but the most recent are from the year 2018; 2019 and 2020, the others are below those years</p> <p>It is suggested to place if there are foundations the possible use of the research and products to be obtained with the use of the leaves</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language applied in the manuscript, technical English, is adequate</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ninoska Margarita Paz Palacios
Department, University & Country	Universidad Bolivariana De Venezuela, Venezuela