

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_98677
Title of the Manuscript:	Microbiological and physicochemical characteristics of surface water from a Local refinery site In Port Harcourt.
Type of the Article	Original article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The information obtained from this research is relevant to the knowledge of society with a scientific basis, however, it requires an improvement in its discussion.</p> <p>The title is adequate, however, understanding that the characterization of these water samples is not only a report of results, it must have an important background, so it is suggested to modify it to improve the writing.</p> <p>The abstract does not indicate anything related to the physicochemical characterization or the methodology used. The weight of the writing is in the microbiological part and there is no correlation of results. The results do not show the statistical treatment of the data.</p> <p>The work, even when it focuses on the characterization, must show a correlation of the parameters and microorganisms found, otherwise there is no clear objective of managing the results found. The references are few and have different format structures, so they must be standardized, they must also be added with a greater update since there is only one that is less than 5 years old. Stick to the author's guidelines: https://journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/about/submissions#authorGuidelines</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The language is suitable for writing	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	I consider it to be an investigation with potential but it should improve its interpretation of results and discussion of results, as well as the observations mentioned in the review.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dolores Castañeda Antonio
Department, University & Country	Meritorious Autonomous University of Puebla, Mexico