

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_104491
Title of the Manuscript:	"Enhancing Crop Improvement through Synergistic Integration of Advanced Plant Breeding and Proximal Remote Sensing Techniques"
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. In order to explore the genetic impacts of various plant types in response to the current environmental conditions, plant phenotyping strives to get rid of geographical affects in their data.</p> <p>2. Yes, because here they mentioned proximal remote sensing techniques with genomics, which can enhance the crops.</p> <p>3. It is quite little bit lengthy, comprehensive Abstract is more impressive</p> <p>4. If they could give Numerical for sections and subsections that will be good</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Numbers for references missed and spacing also not proper. Reference style and spacing need to correct</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1 This review is a little bit elaborative; they can concise the Manuscript with potential words and take care of no repetitions.</p> <p>2. If they could give an example of a specific plant with proximal remote sensing techniques, that would be more impactful.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. Suchitra
Department, University & Country	Narayana Pharmacy College, India