

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Plant & Soil Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJPSS_104258 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Exogenous application of organic and inorganic nutrients and its impact on agronomical traits, yield and quality of vegetable cowpea [Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp] |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Organic and inorganic nutrient application is very important to the scientific world as well as farming communities because of their impact on yield and quality.</p> <p>Is the title of the article suitable? The title of the article is suitable but must be revisited</p> <p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? The abstract of the article is comprehensive but need to incorporate the additions.</p> <p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? The manuscript is scientifically correct because of its nature and references cited and listed.</p> <p>Are these figures (in Table 2 and 4) significant according to your understanding of probability in statistics?</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | Yes | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>This is a important manuscript but the author(s) need to</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Consider arranging the references in alphabetical order while maintaining the numbering system ▪ Revisit the tables to look scientific. | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Research work on organic and inorganic nutrients is important | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Name: | Felix Mereka Chipojola |
| Department, University & Country | Malawi |