

Original Research Article

Effect of Bio-fertilizer and Phosphorus on Growth and Yield of Green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.)

ABSTRACT

The field experiment was conducted at Crop Research Farm, Naini Agriculture Institute, Department of Agronomy, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj during Zaid 2022 on sandy loamy soil. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design. The experiment consists of treatments i.e., VAM (20g/kg seed) + Phosphorus 30kg.ha⁻¹, PSB (20g/kg seed) + Phosphorus 30kg.ha⁻¹, VAM + PSB (40g/kg seed) + Phosphorus 30kg/ha, VAM (20g/kg seed) + Phosphorus 40kg.ha⁻¹, PSB (20g/kg seed) + Phosphorus 40kg.ha⁻¹, VAM + PSB (40g/kg seed) + Phosphorus 40kg/ha, VAM (20g/kg seed) + Phosphorus 50kg.ha⁻¹, PSB (20g/kg seed) + Phosphorus 50kg.ha⁻¹, VAM + PSB (40g/kg seed) + Phosphorus 50kg/ha, including control i.e., application of 20-40-20 kg NPK ha⁻¹ (Farmer practice), which are replicated thrice. The variety PDM-139 SAMRAT green gram was sown in February 2023. The results of the experiment revealed that the application of VAM + PSB @ (40g/kg seed) along with 50 kg.ha⁻¹ of phosphorus significantly increased the growth parameters viz., plant height (32.94 cm), plant dry weight (42.73 g.plant⁻¹), crop growth rate (72.1 g.m⁻².day⁻¹), relative growth rate (2.16 g.m⁻¹.day⁻¹), branches per plant (6.53), nodules per plant (16.4) and yield parameters viz, pods per plant (19.20), seeds per pod (11.87), test weight (40.0g), seed yield (1,620 kg.ha⁻¹), haulm yield (1,022.22 kg.ha⁻¹), harvest index (49.30%) over control. This treatment also showed its positive effect on economics viz., gross returns (Rs. 1,45,770 ha⁻¹), net return (Rs. 1,04,120.40 ha⁻¹) and benefit cost ratio (2.50).

Key words: Green gram, VAM, PSB, Phosphorus, Growth parameters, Yield parameters, Economics.

Comment [NMK1]: The Abstract should be 100 to 200 words in length

Comment [NMK2]: Precise here the objective of your study

Comment [NMK3]: Author must adopt the same style of presentation of units of measurement throughout the document, either kg.ha⁻¹ or g/kg and not both at the same time

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [NMK4]: Can we offer this method to farmers on a large scale? If so, make recommendations

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [NMK5]: ???

Comment [NMK6]: ??? Unit?

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

INTRODUCTION

Green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.) is popularly known as mung bean or golden gram is one of the most important short duration pulse crops grown in India. It ranks 3rd among all pulses that are grown in India after chickpea and pigeon pea. The seeds are highly nutritious as they contain about 24.7% protein, 57.6% carbohydrates, 0.5% fat, 0.9 % fiber and 3.7% ash (Choudhary *et al.*, 2010). In India it is cultivated in area about 300 million hectares. Major states in India which grow green gram are Andhra Pradesh, Orissa, Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Rajasthan, Bihar, and Gujarat. It is grown in about 4.5 million hectares with the total production of 2.5 million tons with a productivity of 548 kg/ha and contributing 10% to the total pulse production. According to Government of India 3rd advance estimates, green gram production in 2020-21 is at 2.64 million tons. However, the per capita consumption of pulses was 43.3 g/day and 47.2 g/day in the year 2013-14, respectively (Prasad *et al.*, 2014).

Bio-fertilizers are living microorganisms of bacterial, fungal and algal origin. Their mode of action differs and can be applied alone or in combination. Biofertilizers fix atmospheric nitrogen in the soil and by the help of root nodules of legume crops and make it available to the plant. They solubilize the insoluble forms of phosphates like tricalcium, iron and aluminum phosphates into available forms. They scavenge phosphate from soil layers. They produce hormones and antimitabolites which promote root growth. They decompose organic matter and help in mineralization in soil. When applied to seed or soil, biofertilizers increase the availability of nutrients and improve the yield by 10 to 25% without adversely affecting the soil and environment.

Bio-fertilizer like Rhizobium and PSB takes an important role in enhancing availability of N and P through increase in biological fixation of atmospheric N and increasing the phosphorus availability to plants (Gajera *et al.*, 2014). Inoculation of Mung bean with PSB increased all the yield attributing characters of Mung bean. The maximum value of all these characters were observed in 80 kg P₂O₅+PSB and minimum values in the control it may be due to the fact that the formation of root nodules and atmospheric nitrogen fixation, (Khan *et al.*, 2004) reported that the inoculation of seed with PSB increased seed yield in Mung bean.

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [NMK7]: You must adopt the same style of presentation of units of measurement throughout the document, either kg.ha⁻¹ or g/kg and not both at the same time

VAM greatly improve phosphate supply to the host plant which resulted in increase of nodulation and N₂ fixation in legumes. Many tropical legumes are infact highly mycorrhizal dependent (Adholeya *et al.*, 1988). Among the VAM strains, *Glomus fasciculatum* recorded maximum dry weight of nodules (33.01 and 21.93 mg plant⁻¹) and rhizobial population (16.35 x 10² and 27.91 x 10³ cells g⁻¹ soil) which soil with was par with *Glomus mossea* throughout the growth period due to higher availability of phosphorus by VAM fungi, which lead to better nodulation (Manjunath A. *et al.*, 1984).

Formatted: Font: Italic

Phosphorus is most important nutrients in pulse production, which is responsible for vegetative growth, reproduction and consequently yield of mung bean. In legume crops nitrogen demand is low as compared to phosphorus because atmospheric nitrogen fixation, so P is the major element for improve productivity of legume crops. Pulses crops require a high amount of phosphorus being consumed by plant. Phosphorus helps in production of ATP and other high energy P compounds, results in a buildup of the carbohydrate production through photosynthesis and the development of a dark green leaf color, also involves in carrying the genetic code from one generation to the next, providing the “blueprint” for all aspects of plant growth and development.

Phosphorus encourages initial development of root, increases the movement of rhizobia and enhances the improvement of nodules by such means fixing extra environmental nitrogen. It helps in nodules; root growth and it further accelerate the crop maturity (Singh *et al.*, 2017). It plays a vital role in energy movement, stimulation of primary growth and progress, fruiting and seed production.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted during Zaid season of 2022 at the crop research farm, department of Agronomy, Naini Agriculture Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences (SHUATS), Prayagraj (U.P.) India. The soil of experimental plot was sandy loamy in texture, nearly neutral in soil reaction (pH 7.0), medium in available nitrogen, available phosphorus and available in potassium. The experiments was laid out in Randomized Block Design with 10 treatments each replicated thrice *Viz.*, T1- VAM 20g/kg seed + 30kg P ha⁻¹, T2- PSB 20g/kg seed + 30kg P ha⁻¹, T3- (VAM +PSB) 40g/kg seed + 30kg P ha⁻¹, T4-VAM 20g/kg seed + 40kg P ha⁻¹, T5-PSB 20g/kg seed + 40kg P ha⁻¹, T6-(VAM +PSB) 40g/kg seed + 40kg P ha⁻¹, T7-VAM 20g/kg

Comment [NMK8]: You must adopt the same style of presentation of units of measurement throughout the document, either kg.ha⁻¹ or g/kg and not both at the same time

Formatted: Highlight

seed + 50kg P ha⁻¹, T8-PSB 20g/kg seed + 50kg P ha⁻¹, T9- (VAM +PSB) 40g/kg seed + 50kg P ha⁻¹, T10- 20-40-20 kg NPK /ha(Control).The observations was recorded for plant height (cm), Dry weight (g), Number of nodules, Number of Branches /plant, Crop growth rate (g/m²/day), Relative growth rate (g/g/day), Number of pods /plant (no.) ,No of seeds/pod, Test weight (g), Seed yield (kg/ha), Haulm yield (t/ha), Harvest index(%). The data were subjected to statistical analysis by analysis of variance method (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

GROWTH PARAMETERS

Plant height: At 60 DAS, inoculation by(VAM+PSB) 40g/kg seed + 50 kg P ha⁻¹(T9) has significantly increases the plant height (32.95 cm), whereas inoculation by (VAM + PSB) 40g/kg seed+ 40 kg P ha⁻¹ (T6), inoculation by PSB 20g/kg seed + 50 kg P ha⁻¹(T8), inoculation by VAM 20g/kg seed + 50 kg P ha⁻¹(T7),and inoculation by PSB 20g/kg seed + 40 kg P ha⁻¹(T5),found to be statistically on par for plant height (31.26 cm, 30.43 cm, 30.14 and 29.41 cm, respectively).Increase in the plant height was due to increasing levels of phosphorus, bio-fertilizers which helped in new cell formation and root development, leading to availability of all nutrients and water from the deeper soil layers for higher photosynthetic activity. thereby promoted vegetative growth; consequently, increased the plant height. Similar findings were also reported by RoyandRahaman(1992),HaqueandKhan(2012), RasoolandSingh(2016).

Plant dry weight:At60DAS,inoculationby(VAM+PSB) 40g/kg seed+ 50 kg P ha⁻¹(T9) showed significantly higher dry weight per plant (42.73 g plant⁻¹) over control(RDFonly),with Significant result. Phosphorus encourages the formation of new cells, promote plant vigour and hastens leaf development, which helps in harvesting of more solar energy and better utilization of nitrogen. As the result growth attributes increased with increase in doses of phosphorus. Higher dry weight was may be due to the cumulative effect of increased plant height and number of branches which resulted in more dry matter production by plant. These findings were found relevant to Mashiet al. (2020) and Venkataraoet al. (2017).

Plant crop growth rate:During 45-60 DAS,with inoculation by (VAM+PSB)20g/kg seed+50 kg P ha⁻¹(T9) significantly higher crop growth rate (72.11 g m⁻² day⁻¹) has beenrecorded over control (only RDF),whereas crop growth rate in inoculation by(VAM+PSB) 20g/kg seed+ 40kgPha⁻¹(T6), (59.33gm⁻²day⁻¹)foundtobestatisticallyonparT9.The inoculation of bio-fertilizers andPhosphorus resulted

Formatted: Highlight

in higher crop growth rate, this might be due to direct and higher availability and translocation of nutrients during development phase of crop growth, which enhances the physiological and metabolic activities of plant and put up more growth by assimilating the available nutrients at higher rate and facilitate more photosynthesis.

Plant relative growth rate: During 45-60 DAS, with co-inoculation of (VAM+PSB) 40g/kg seed + 50 kg P ha⁻¹ (T9) significantly higher crop growth rate (2.16 g g⁻¹ day⁻¹) has been recorded over (T10) control (only RDF), whereas crop growth rate in inoculation of T6 (VAM+PSB) 40g/kg seed + 40 kg P ha⁻¹ (1.78 g g⁻¹ day⁻¹) found to be statistically on par with highest treatment.

Formatted: Highlight

Number of Branches per plant: At 60 DAS, co-inoculation by T9 - VAM and PSB + 50 kg P ha⁻¹ has significantly increased the number of branches per plant (6.53), whereas in T6 co-inoculation (VAM+PSB) 40g/kg seed + 40 kg P ha⁻¹ was found on par number of branches per plant (6.26) with T9.

Comment [NMK9]: When presenting the results you should always refer to a table or a figure

More number of branches per plant was found in T9 over control (only RDF). This result might be due to better availability of nutrients during the crop growth period by the action of bio-fertilizers and phosphorus. Similar findings were also reported by Haque and Khan (2012) and Rasool and Singh (2016).

Number of Nodules per plant: At 60 DAS, co-inoculation by T9 (VAM+PSB) 40g/kg seed + 50 kg P ha⁻¹ has significantly increased the number of nodules per plant (20.93) over control (only RDF), whereas, co-inoculation by (VAM+PSB) 40g/kg seed along with application of 40 kg P ha⁻¹ (20.26) found to be statistically on par with T9. Application of phosphorus helped in efficient utilization of nutrients, which resulted in attaining better crop canopy and further increased absorption and utilization of radiant energy resulting in higher effective and total number of nodules. Phosphorus also enhanced the activity of rhizobia and increased the formation of root nodule and there by helped in fixing more of atmospheric nitrogen in root nodule. Similar results were confirmed by Masih *et al.* (2020). Interaction of VAM was synergistic for nodulation of the plant. Results were confirmed by Chaudhary (2019).

Yield attributes

Pods/plant: The number pods per plant was significantly higher in co-inoculation by T9 (VAM+PSB) 40g/kg seed + 50 kg P ha⁻¹ (19.20 pods plant⁻¹), whereas pods per plant in co-inoculation of by T6 (VAM+PSB) 40g/kg seed + 40 kg P ha⁻¹ (18.87 pods plant⁻¹), found to

best statistically on par with the T9. This result might be due to more availability of N, P, K in the soil because of the bio-fertilizers, also reported by Sharma *et al.* (1998), Togay *et al.* (2008), and Namvar *et al.* (2011). Moreover, the growth promoting hormones are produced by these organisms, which further promote plant growth reported by Jat *et al.* (2004) and Geneva *et al.* (2006).

Seeds/pod: The number of seeds per pod was significantly higher with co-inoculation of T9 (VAM+PSB) 40g/kg seed +50 kg P ha⁻¹ (11.87 seeds pods⁻¹). Phosphorus deficiency limits N-fixation mainly by reducing the growth of host plant. Thus, the application of phosphorus and seed treatment with both microorganisms might have resulted in the increased carbohydrate accumulation and their remobilization, reproductive parts of the plants, being the closest sink and hence, resulted in increased flowering, fruiting and seed formation (Nadeen *et al.* (2004) and Sepat (2005)).

Test weight: The test weight was significantly higher in co-inoculation by T9 (VAM+PSB) 40g/kg seed +50 kg P ha⁻¹ (40.0 g), whereas in co-inoculation of T6 (VAM+PSB) 40g/kg seed +40 kg P ha⁻¹ test weight (39.6 g), was found to be statistically on par with T9. This is because of regulatory functions of phosphorus in photosynthesis and carbohydrate metabolism of leaves can be considered to be one of the major factors limiting plant growth particularly during reproductive phase. The level of phosphorus during this period regulates starch or sucrose ratio in the source leaves and their reproductive organs (Giaqinta and Quebedeaux, 1980).

Seed yield: The seed yield was significantly higher in co-inoculation by T9 (VAM+PSB) 40g/kg seed +50 kg P ha⁻¹ (1620 kg ha⁻¹). This might be due to the combined increase in number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod under this treatment. This might be the fact that excess assimilates stored in the leaves and later then trans-located into seeds at the time of senescence, ultimately led to the higher seed yield. These findings are in line with the results obtained by Sepat (2005) and Kumawat (2006).

Haulm yield: The haulm yield was significantly higher with the co-inoculation by T9 (VAM+PSB) 40g/kg seed +50 kg P ha⁻¹ (1022.22 kg ha⁻¹), whereas with co-inoculation by T6 (VAM+PSB) 40g/kg seed +40 kg P ha⁻¹ haulm yield (1009.12 kg ha⁻¹), was found to be statistically on par with T9. This might be due to the increased growth and development in terms of plant height, branches and dry matter by improving nutritional environment of rhizosphere and plant system leading to the higher

plant metabolism and photosynthetic activity. These findings are similar to the results of Ram and Dixit (2001), Pate *et al.* (2004) in moth bean, Yadav (2004) in green gram, Kumar (2005) in chickpea, Sepat (2005) and Kumawat (2006) in moth bean and Kumar and Kushwaha (2006) in pigeon pea.

Harvest index: The harvest index was significantly higher with co-inoculation by T9 (VAM+PSB) 40g/kg seed +50 kg P ha⁻¹ (49.30 %), whereas harvest index with co-inoculation by T6 (VAM+PSB) 40g/kg seed +40 kg P ha⁻¹ (47.33 %) was found to be statistically on par with T9. Better performance of crop from vegetative to reproductive stage is due to optimum spacing and higher dose of phosphorus which increased the nutrient uptake of the crop.

Economic

Cost of cultivation: The cost of cultivation of green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.) was discussed in Table 3. The total cost of cultivation INR 41,649.6 ha⁻¹ was found to be highest in T9 (VAM+PSB) 40g/kg seed +50 kg P ha⁻¹.

Gross returns: The gross return of green gram was discussed in Table 3. The higher gross return of INR 1,45,770.00 ha⁻¹ was found with co-inoculation of T9 (VAM+PSB) 40g/kg seed +50 kg P ha⁻¹.

Net returns: The net return of green gram was discussed in Table 3. The higher net return INR 1,04,120.40 ha⁻¹ was found with co-inoculation of T9 (VAM+PSB) 40g/kg seed +50 kg P ha⁻¹.

Benefit-cost ratio: The benefit-cost ratio of green gram was discussed in Table 3. The higher B:C (2.50) was found with co-inoculation of T9 (VAM+PSB) 40g/kg seed +50 kg P ha⁻¹.

CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that the co-inoculation by (VAM+PSB) 40g/kg seed +50 kg P ha⁻¹ (Treatment 9) recorded higher yield and benefit cost ratio in green gram.

REFERENCE

- Adholeya, A.; Johri, B.N. and Chauhan, R.K.S. (1988). Effect of VAM – Rhizobium interaction on productivity, N, P uptake, dry weight and infection percent in Indian mung bean (*Vigna radiata*). *New Phytol*, 102-106.
- Chaudhary, K. 2019. The Role Of VAM-Rhizobium Interaction in Growth of Green Gram

(*Vigna radiata* L. Var.TARM-1) at Different Phosphate Levels, *Plant Archives* **19(2)**: 1689-1691.

Choudhary, R., Sandhu, A.C., Gagiya, K. M. (2010). Effect of fertility levels and fertilizers on growth, yield and economics of summer green gram (*Vigna radiata* L Wilczek). *Haryana Journal of Agronomy* **26**,41-44.

Gajera, R.J., Khafi, H.R., Raj, A.D., Yadav, V. and Lad, A.N. 2014. Effect of phosphorus and bio-fertilizers on growth yield and economics of summer green gram [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek], *Agriculture Update* **9(1)**: 98-102.

Geneva, M., Zehirov, G., Djonova, E., Kaloyanova, N., Georgiev, G., and Stancheva, I. 2006. The effect of inoculation of pea plants with mycorrhizal fungi and *Rhizobium* on nitrogen and phosphorus assimilation, *Plant, Soil and Environment* **52(10)**: 435–440.

Giaquinta, R.T. and Quebedeaux, B. 1980. Phosphate induced changes in assimilate partitioning in soybean leaves during pod filling. *Plant Physiology*, **65** Suppl. 119.

Gomez, K.W. and Gomez, A.A. 1984. *Statistical procedures for agricultural research*, John Wiley & Sons.

Haque MA and Khan MK. 2012. Effect of phosphatic biofertilizer with inorganic and organic sources of phosphorus on growth and yield of lentil *Journal of Environmental Science and Natural Resources* **5(2)**: 225-230.

Jat R.S. and Ahlawat I. P. S. 2004. Effect of vermicompost, biofertilizers and phosphorus on growth, yield and nutrient uptake by gram (*Cicer arietinum*) and their residual effect on fodder maize (Zeamays), *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **74(7)**: 359-361.

Kumar, A. and Kushwaha, H.S. 2006. Response of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*) to sources and level of phosphorus under rainfed condition. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* **51(1)**: 60-62.

Kumar, J. and Sharma, M. 2005. Effect of phosphorus and molybdenum on yield and nutrient uptake by chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Advances in Plant Sciences*, **18(11)**: 869-873.

Kumawat, S. 2006. Response of mothbean [*Vigna aconitifolia* (Jacq.) ~~Marechal~~] Marechal] to phosphorus and molybdenum. *M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis*, Rajasthan Agricultural University, Bikaner.

Manjunath, A., Bagyaraj, D. J. and Gopala Gowda, H. S. 1984. Response of Pigeon pea and Cowpea to phosphate and dual inoculation with vesicular arbuscular mycorrhiza and *Rhizobium*, *Plant and Soil* **78**, 445-448.

Nadeem, M.A., Ahmad, R. and Ahmad, M.S. 2004. Effect of seed inoculation and different fertilizers levels on the growth and yield of mungbean. *Indian Journal of*

Agronomy **3**(1):42-42.

- Namvar, A., Sharifi, R.S., Sedghi, M., Zakaria, R.A., Khandan, T. and Eskandarpour, B.(2011). Study on the effects of organic and inorganic nitrogen fertilizer on yield, yield components and nodulation state of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Commun. Soil Science Plant Analysis* **42**:1097-1109.
- Patel, M.M., Patel, I.C., Patel, R.M., Tikka, S.B.S. and Patel, B.S. 2004. Response of moth bean to row spacing and phosphorus under rainfed conditions. *Indian Journal of Pulses Research* **17**(1):91-92.
- Prasad, S.K., Singh M.K. and Singh, J. 2014. Response of rhizobium and phosphorous levels on green gram [*Phaseolus radiata* (L.)] under guava-based-agri-horti system. *The Bioscan* **9**(2): 557-560.
- Ram, S.N. and Dixit, R.S. 2001. Growth, yield attributing parameters and quality of summer green gram (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek) as influenced by dates of sowing and phosphorus. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research* **35**:275-277.
- Rasool, S. and Singh, J. 2016. Effect of Biofertilizers and Phosphorus on Growth and Yield of Lentil (*Lens culinaris* L.). *International Journal of Advances in Agricultural Science and Technology* **3**:35-42.
- Roy, S. K. and Rahaman, S. M. L. 1992. Effect of seed rate and inoculation on nodulation, growth and yield of lentil. *Legume Research* **15**(13):131-151.
- Sepat, S. 2005. Response of moth bean [*Vigna acutifolia* (Jacq.) ~~Marechal~~] Marechal] to phosphorus and sulphur fertilization under rainfed conditions. *M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis*, Rajasthan Agricultural University, Bikaner.
- Singh, S.P., Kumar, Y. and Singh, S. 2017. Effect of sources and levels of sulphur on yield, quality and uptake of nutrients in green gram (*Vigna radiata*). *Annals of Plant and Soil Research* **19**(2): 143-147.
- Venkatarao, C.V., Naga, S.R., Yadav, B.L., Koli, D.K. and Rao, I.J. 2017. Effect of phosphorus and biofertilizers on growth and yield of mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* **6**(7): 3992-3997.
- Yadav, S.S. 2004. Growth and yield of green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.) as influenced by phosphorus and sulphur fertilization. *Haryana Journal of Agronomy* **20**(1):10-1.

Table1: Effect of Bio-fertilizers and phosphorus on growth attributes of green gram

S. No	Treatments	Plant height	Dry weight	No. of. nodules	No. of. Branches	CGR	RGR
1.	VAM 20g/ <u>k</u> Kg Seed + Phosphorus 30 kg/ha	26.96	20.17	14.40	5.33	32.14	0.96
2.	PSB 20g/ <u>k</u> Kg Seed + Phosphorus 30 kg/ha	27.57	21.70	15.00	5.33	34.37	1.03
3.	VAM+PSB 40g/ <u>k</u> Kg Seed + Phosphorus 30 kg/ha	28.39	23.93	15.00	5.66	38.81	1.16
4.	VAM 20g/ <u>k</u> Kg Seed + Phosphorus 40 kg/ha	29.09	25.97	15.00	5.66	42.22	1.27
5.	PSB 20g/ <u>k</u> Kg Seed + Phosphorus 40 kg/ha	29.41	26.50	14.93	5.66	42.96	1.29
6.	VAM+PSB 40g/ <u>k</u> Kg Seed + Phosphorus 40 kg/ha	31.26	36.57	15.86	6.26	59.33	1.78
7.	VAM 20g/ <u>k</u> Kg Seed + Phosphorus 50 kg/ha	30.14	26.93	14.80	5.66	43.85	1.32
8.	PSB 20g/ <u>k</u> Kg Seed + Phosphorus 50 kg/ha	30.43	29.20	15.33	6.00	46.95	1.41
9.	VAM+PSB40g/ <u>k</u> KgSeed+Phosphorus 50 kg/ha	32.95	42.73	16.45	6.53	72.11	2.16
10.	Control:20-40-20	26.80	18.28	13.66	4.82	29.17	0.88

S. Em (\pm)	1.22	1.60	0.22	0.11	6.11	0.18
CD (p = 0.05)	3.63	4.77	0.67	0.35	18.16	0.54

Table 2: Effect of Bio-fertilizers and phosphorus on yield attributes of green gram

S. No	Treatments	Pods/plant	Seeds/pod	Test weight	Seed yield	Harvest index	Haulm yield
1.	VAM 20g/ <u>k</u> Kg Seed + Phosphorus 30 kg/ha	17.73	10.13	38.1	1051	42.28	584.22
2.	PSB 20g/ <u>k</u> Kg Seed + Phosphorus 30 kg/ha	17.80	10.20	38.2	1087	40.28	644.15
3.	VAM+PSB 40g/ <u>k</u> Kg Seed + Phosphorus 30 kg/ha	18.20	10.33	38.3	1164	45.26	722.71
4.	VAM 20g/ <u>k</u> Kg Seed + Phosphorus 40 kg/ha	18.20	10.40	38.6	1255	42.13	737.09
5.	PSB 20g/ <u>k</u> Kg Seed + Phosphorus 40 kg/ha	18.13	10.87	38.0	1295	43.41	783.47
6.	VAM+PSB 40g/ <u>k</u> Kg Seed + Phosphorus 40 kg/ha	18.87	11.20	39.6	1546	47.33	1009.12
7.	VAM 20g/ <u>k</u> Kg Seed + Phosphorus 50 kg/ha	18.07	10.47	38.4	1380	41.89	862.75
8.	PSB 20g/ <u>k</u> Kg Seed + Phosphorus 50 kg/ha	18.13	10.93	38.6	1497	43.43	926.45

9. VAM+PSB 40g/kkg Seed + Phosphorus 50 kg/ha	19.20	11.87	40.0	1620	49.30	1022.22
10. Control:20-40-20	16.73	10.00	38.0	957	41.33	556.89
S. Em (\pm)	0.12	0.16	0.37	13.68	1.39	31.14
CD (p = 0.05)	0.36	0.48	1.12	40.66	4.13	92.55

Table3:Effect of Bio-fertilizers and phosphorus on economics of green gram

Treatments		Cost of cultivation (₹ ha ⁻¹)	Gross return (₹ ha ⁻¹)	Net return (₹ ha ⁻¹)	B:C
1	VAM 20g/kkg Seed + Phosphorus 30 kg/ha	40115.6	94620.00	54504.40	1.36
2	PSB 20g/kkg Seed + Phosphorus 30 kg/ha	40335.6	97860.00	57524.40	1.43
3	VAM +PSB 40g/kkg Seed + Phosphorus 30 kg/ha	40599.6	104790.00	64190.40	1.58
4	VAM 20g/kkg Seed + Phosphorus 40 kg/ha	40640.6	112950.00	72309.40	1.78
5	PSB 20g/kkg Seed + Phosphorus 40 kg/ha	40860.6	116550.00	75689.40	1.85
6	VAM +PSB 40g/kkg Seed + Phosphorus 40 kg/ha	41124.6	139110.00	97985.40	2.38
7	VAM 20g/kkg Seed + Phosphorus 50 kg/ha	41165.6	124200.00	83034.40	2.02

8	PSB 20g/ k g Seed + Phosphorus 50 kg/ha	41385.6	134730.00	93344.40	2.26
9	VAM +PSB 40g/ k g Seed + Phosphorus 50 kg/ha	41649.6	145770.00	104120.40	2.50
10	Control (20:40:20) NPK k g/ha	38276.6	86160	47883.4	1.25

UNDER PEER REVIEW

