

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_104942
Title of the Manuscript:	Decomposition of Agriculture Farm Wastes by Cellulolytic Bacteria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes Not at all because no cellulolytic bacteria was isolated and none shown in the table. Not detailed enough. Quite alright but content very incoherent. Must be worked upon seriously. Quite alright but improperly used. The research will remain inconclusive unless the bacteria and fungi that degraded cellulose are identified and name by standard scientific methods.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Needs serious overhaul and improvement.	
Optional/General comments	The should ensure that all correction in 'red' are effected and all questions as well as queries answered before this manuscript is published	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	D. D. Moro
Department, University & Country	Lagos State University, Nigeria