

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_104761</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Influence of Seaweed Sap and Organic Manures on Growth and Yield of Baby Corn</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes it is</b> important for scientific community particularly <b>in this time to reduce the environmental hazard due use of chemical fertilizers.</b></p> <p><b>It is suitable title of this article</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>No, it needs some correction</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p><b>No, it needs some correction</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1-All corrections were highlighted with yellow colour</p> <p>2-All highlighted with red colour <b>should</b> be removed</p> <p>3mycomments(<b>What..is..the..Statistical.analysis.software.you.used.to/analyzed....how.you.compare ..means.For.each..different..treatments)you..should..add..different..letters.for..each..different..Signifi cant.means.as..described..by..(Gomez and Gomez, 1976)</b></p> <p>onpageNo3</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Badr Eldin Abdelgadir Moh. Ahmed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kassala University, Sudan</b>