Original Research Article

EVALUATION ~~TRIAL~~ OF IVY GOURD *(Coccinia grandis* L) GENOTYPES ~~*(Coccinia grandis* L)~~ UNDER PRAYAGRAJ AGRO CLIMATIC CONDITIONS

ABSTRACT

The present investigation entitled **Evaluation ~~trial~~ of ivy gourd *(Coccinia grandis* L) genotypes ~~*(Coccinia grandis* L)~~ under Prayagraj agro climatic conditions** was carried out during October, 2022 to March 2023 at Horticultural Research Field, Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology a~~A~~nd Sciences. The experiment was laid out in ~~a~~ randomized block design with seven genotypes in three replications. The genotypes ~~such as~~namely G_1-Arka Neelachal Khunki, G_2-Arka Neelachal Sabuja, G_3-Local Geda, G_4-Local Denga, G_5-Surekha, G_6-CHIV-7 and G_7-CHIV-8 were evaluated. It was concluded that all ~~the 7~~seven genotypes showed significant ~~effect~~ performances on almost all the growth and yield characters as well as quality of ivy gourd. The genotype G_2-Arka Neelachal Sabuja was found superior in terms of vine length (315.11 cm), petiole length (6.37 cm), internodal length (12.71cm),fruit diameter (2.87 cm), average fruit weight (21.08 g), No. of seeds for per fruit (122), No. of fruits per plant (422), fruit yield per plant (8.82 kg) ,fruit yield per hectare (17.35 t/ha), TSS (4.33), Ascorbic acid (15.34 mg/100g) and minimum days taken for first female flower anthesis (35.17 days); whereas maximum fruit length was obtained from genotype G_1- Arka Neelachal Khunki (6.12 cm). Among the ~~different~~ genotypes, ~~the~~ highest g~~G~~ross return (Rs/ha) (3,47,000), n~~N~~et return (Rs/ha) (1,90,140), benefit cost ratio (2.21) was also obtained from genotype G2 i.e Arka Neelachal Sabuja.

Key words: *Ivy Gourd, Genotypes, growth, yield*

1. INTRODUCTION

Ivy gourd (*Coccinia grandis* (L.) *Volgi.*) is a semi perennial, dioecious creeper widely cultivated in South East Asian countries belongs to the family Cucurbitaceae with chromosome no. 2n = 24. It is an underexploited ~~semi-perennial~~ creeper, commonly known as Kundru in Hindi ~~and Kundul in Assamese~~. Ivy gourd is a minor cucurbit originated and domesticated in Central Africa, India and Asia. In India, it is widely grown in Tamil Nadu, Karnataka, Kerala, Maharashtra, Gujarat, Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Uttar Pradesh and Odisha. In fact, it is indigenous to India and it's of their huts. This minor vegetable has unique medicinal value of controlling diabetes, bronchitis, skin disorders

and it checks fever. It is also used as trauma aid by people in villages when there is an accident. The plant is used as a laxative. It is used internally in the treatment of gonorrhoea. Aqueous and ethanolic extracts of the plant have wild form is also found in many parts of India **(Maurya, 2013).** In southern states, it is grown all-round the year while in east, west and north; it remains dormant during peak ~~cool~~ winter season.

Ivy gourd is a perennial, dioeceous climbing vine that may spread with support of a tree, shrub, fence or any supports but sensitive to shade and water logging condition. Ivy gourd produces profuse branching with tuberous root; tendril simple and sometime bifid, its leaves are arranged alternately along the stems, they vary from heart to pentagon shape and are up to 10 cm wide and long. The upper leaf surface is glabrous, whereas the lower surface is hairy. Flower is large in white ~~in~~ color, star shape, solitary~~;~~ and peduncle medium in size. Male flower is~~:~~ calyx companulate, glabrous, ~~5~~ five lobed, obtuse; corolla is white, companulae, glabrous ~~5~~ five lobed, short and acute; stamens is 5 (2+2+1), inserted at the base of calyx tube, filaments connate into a central column. Female flower; solitary peduncle cylinder, calyx and corolla similar to male flower. Stigma is three~~3~~, subulate villous at base. Ovary is inferior. Fruits is ovoid, cylindrical sometime elliptic in shape, 10-12 cm long, 2.54.0 cm across, smooth light green with white strips and scarlet color when ripe. Seeds are tan-colored and 6–7 mm long. The fruit of species Coccinia adenensis are bitter in test due to present of cucurbitacin 'b' in the form of glycoside but lose their bitterness rapidly during ripening. The roots and stems are succulent and probably enable the plant to survive during prolonged drought **(Pier, 2003)**

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment entitled "Evaluation trial of ivy gourd genotypes (*Coccinia grandis* L) under Prayagraj agro climatic conditions" was carried out in the department of horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences during 2022-2023. The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design with seven genotypes in three replications. The genotypes such as G_1-Arka Neelachal Khunki, G_2-Arka Neelachal Sabuja, G_3-Local Geda, G_4-Local Denga, G_5-Surekha, G_6- CHIV-7 and G_7-CHIV-8. All the package of practices was followed as per recommendations to raise a quality crop. Five plants ~~are~~ were selected randomly from each ~~–~~genotypes ~~per application~~ and observations were recorded on growth, yield, and quality parameters on these plants. Data on various parameters was recorded and statically analyzed by applying the technique of analysis of variance using randomized block design. The level of significance was kept at 5% ($p < 0.05$).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All the parameters are shown in tabular form in Table no 1, Table no 2 and Table no 3

Comment [Vikrant1]: Please incorporate the required information as:
1. Please rewrite the introduction with incorporating matter related to your study instead of description of botany of Ivy Guard.
2. Keep the references at every facts. Add more references.
3. Add the text on subject of study.

Comment [Vikrant2]: Please rewrite this section with sub-headings as:
Experiment details, Observations recorded, Statistical analyses, etc.

Comment [Vikrant3]: Keep the text in proper format and word style.

Comment [Vikrant4]: Give details of genotypes, its source, parentage as in tabular form.

Comment [Vikrant5]: Add reference.

Comment [Vikrant6]: Please give details about name of traits/ observations taken.

Comment [Vikrant7]: Give name of software.

Comment [Vikrant8]: Please rewrite this section with sub-heading:
Vegetative growth parameters, Economic yield parameters, Quality parameters, etc.

Comment [Vikrant9]: Please remove the numbering system of explanation.
Give in paragraph only.

1. The ~~Days~~ days taken for first flowering~~-~~, the minimum days ~~was~~ were recorded in G2 – Arka Neelachal Sabuja (35.17 days) , followed by G5 – Surekha (37 days) and the maximum days ~~at~~ in G6 - CHIV-7 (52 days). The number of days from planting of first female flower is an important character, which indicates earliness or lateness of the crop, in general. The early and late female flower appearance help in the occurrence of early/ late flush of the crop. The variation in days to first female flower anthesis might have been due to internodal length, petiole length and vine length. Similar result for days to first female flower anthesis had also reported by **Bharathi *et al* (2011), Nag *et al.*, (2012)** and **Saikia J. and Phookan D.B. (2018)**
2. The maximum vine length (cm) was observed ~~with~~ in G2 – Arka Neelachal Sabuja (315.11 cm) followed by G1 – Arka Neelachal Khunki (310.56 cm), whereas minimum was reported in G6 – CHIV- 7 (265.29 cm.). The variation in vine length might have been due to genetic makeup of the genotypes, which in some way influenced this morphological expression through the activity of endogenous growth regulators. Similar result for vine length had also recorded by **Bharathi *et al* (2011)., Nag *et al.*, (2012)** in ivy gourd**, Basmati *et al.*, (2014)** in spine gourd, **Ara *et al.*, (2012)** in pointed gourd.
3. The maximum Internodal length (cm) was observed ~~with~~ in G2 – Arka Neelachal Sabuja (12.71 cm) followed by G1 – Arka Neelachal Khunki (10.49 cm) whereas minimum was reported in G7 – CHIV- 8 (8.05 cm). The variation in internodal length might have been due to vine length, genetic characters and morphological characters. The Arka varieties finds better at adapting environment and better nutrient uptake than the local varieties. Similar result for vine length had also recorded by **Bharathi *et al* (2011)~~.~~., Nag *et al.*, (2012)** and **Saikia J. and Phookan D.B. (2018).**
4. The maximum p~~P~~etiole length (cm) was observed with G2 – Arka Neelachal Sabuja (6.37 cm) followed by G7 – CHIV-8 (5.90 cm); whereas minimum was reported in G3 – Local Geda- 7 (4.23 cm.) The variation in petiole length might have been due to internodal length, vine length, genetic characters and morphological characters. The Arka varieties finds better at adapting environment and better nutrient uptake than the local varieties. Similar result for vine length had also recorded by **Bharathi *et al* (2011)., Nag *et al.*, (2012)** and **Saikia J. and Phookan D.B. (2018).**
5. Maximum Fruit length (cm) was observed with plants G1 – Arka Neelachal Khunki (6.12 cm), followed by G2 – Arka Neelachal Sabuja (5.67 cm) whereas minimum was reported in G3 – Local Geda (3.22 cm.) Fruit length is an important character contributing towards yield. The variation in fruit length might have been due to fruit diameter, fruit volume and genetic and morphological differentiation. Variation in fruit length had been also found by **Hazara *et al.* (1998), Krishana Prasad *et al.* (1999), Dora *et al.* (2002), Khan et al. (2009), Bharathi et al. (2011)** in pointed gourd**, Bharathi *et al.* (2006)** in spine gourd, **Bharathi *et al.* (2008)**, **Nag *et al.* (2012)** in ivy gourd.
6. Maximum Fruit Diameter (cm) was observed with plants G2 – Arka Neelachal Sabuja (2.87 cm), followed by G4 – Local Denga (2.84 cm) whereas minimum was reported in G1 – Arka Neelachal Khunki (1.81 cm). Fruit diameter is an important character contributing towards yield. The variation in fruit diameter might have been due to internodal length, fruit length, genetic characters and morphological characters. Similar result for fruit diameter had also recorded by **Dora *et al.* (2002 a), Bharathi *et al* (2008), Khan *et al.* (2009). Bharathi *et al.* (2011), Nair *et al.* (2012)** in pointed gourd**, Celine *et al.* (2010)** in snake gourd**, Basumaty *et al.* (2014)** in spine gourd**, Nag *et al.* (2012)** in ivy gourd**.**

Comment [Vikrant10]: Sentence is not clear. Elaborate it with correct sentence.

Comment [Vikrant11]: Give reference.

Comment [Vikrant12]: Please try to keep the reference of same crop.

Comment [Vikrant13]: Please give proper sentences with discussion.

Comment [Vikrant14]: Please give some explanation that what kind of growth habit has been recorded by others.

Comment [Vikrant15]: Please do not repeat the same sentences.

7. Maximum Average Fruit Weight (gm.) was observed ~~with~~ in genotypes~~plants~~ G2 – Arka Neelachal Sabuja (21.08 gm.), followed by G5 – Surekha (19.04 gm.); whereas minimum was reported in G3 – Local Geda (16.28 gm.). The variation in fruit weight might have been due to fruit length, fruit volume, and specific gravity, genetic and morphological differentiation. Similar result for fruit diameter had also recorded by **Dora *et al*. (2002 a), Bharathi *et al* (2008), Khan *et al.* (2009). Bharathi *et al.* (2011), Nair *et al.* (2012)** in pointed gourd**, Celine *et al.* (2010)** in snake gourd**, Basumaty *et al*. (2014)** in spine gourd**, Nag *et al.* (2012)** in ivy gourd**.**
8. Maximum Number of seed per fruit was observed with plants G2 – Arka Neelachal Sabuja (122), followed by G5 - Surekha (118); whereas minimum was reported in G6 – CHIV-7 (71). The variation in average fruit weight might have been due to fruit diameter, fruit length and morphological characters. Similar result for number of seed per fruit had also recorded by **Bharathi *et al* (2011)** and **Nag *et al.*, (2012)**
9. Maximum Number of fruits per plant was observed with plants G2 – Arka Neelachal Sabuja (422), followed by G5 - Surekha (384) whereas minimum was reported in G6 – CHIV-7 (237) The variation in number of fruits per plant might have been due to internodal length, vine length and morphological characters. Similar result for number of fruits per plant had also recorded by **Bharathi *et al* (2011)** and **Nag *et al.*, (2012)**
10. Maximum Fruit yield per plant (kg) was observed with plants G2 – Arka Neelachal Sabuja (8.82kg), followed by G5 – Surekha (7.49kg) and the minimum at G6 - CHIV-7 (3.88kg). The yield per plant due to various genotypes was found significant. The variation of fruit yield per plant (kg) might have been due to internodal length, vine length, number of secondary branches, and number of fruits, average fruit weight, and fruit volume, and specific gravity, genetic and morphological difference. Similar result for fruit yield per plant had also recorded by **Bharathi *et al* (2011)** and **Nag *et al.*, (2012)**
11. Maximum Fruit yield tonnes per hectare (ton) was observed with plants G2 – Arka Neelachal Sabuja (17.35t/ha), followed by G5 – Surekha (15.32t/ha) and the minimum at G4 – Local Denga (8.25t/ha). Yield is a complex character and is determined by many genes and is largely influenced by environmental conditions. In the present study data regarding yield per plant as well as per hectare showed significant differences among the genotypes. Yield in each genotype is a result of the cumulative effect of different characters which includes internodal length, vine length, number of secondary branches, number of fruits, average fruit weight, fruit yield per plant, fruit volume, specific gravity, genetic and morphological differences. Similar result for fruit yield per hectare had also recorded by **Bharathi *et al* (2011)** and **Nag *et al.*, (2012)** in ivy gourd
12. TSS (°Brix) , Maximum was recorded with plants G2 – Arka Neelachal Sabuja (4.33) , followed by G5 –Surekha (3.95) and the minimum at G6 – CHIV-7 (2.53). The variation in total soluble solids might be due to better adoptability in the environmental conditions, higher nutrient uptake and genetically characters. Similar result for fruit yield per hectare had also recorded by **Bharathi *et al* (2011)** and **Nag *et al.*, (2012)**
13. Ascorbic Acid (mg/100g of fruit pulp), Maximum was recorded with plants G2 – Arka Neelachal Sabuja (15.34) , followed by G1 – Arka Neelachal Khunki (14.33) and the minimum at G6 – CHIV-7 (11.18). The variation in ascorbic acid might be due to better adoptability in the environmental conditions, higher nutrient uptake and genetically characters. Similar result for fruit yield per hectare had also recorded by **Bharathi *et al* (2011)** and **Nag *et al.*, (2012)**
14. Among the different genotypes the highest Gross return were obtained from genotype G2 – Arka Neelachal Sabuja (Rs/ha) (3,47,000) followed by genotype G5 –Surekha

(Rs/ha) (3,06,400) with net return of (Rs/ha) 1,90,140 and (Rs/ha) 1,49,540 respectively. These genotype exhibited maximum Benefit: Cost ratio of 2.21 and 1.95 respectively.

Table 1: Evaluation of growth parameter in ivy gourd genotypes

Genotype	Vine length (cm)	internodal length (cm)	Petiole length (cm)	Days to first female flower initiation
G_{1}- ARKA NEELACHAL KHUNKI	310.56	10.49	5.31	45.33
G_{2}- ARKA NEELACHAL SABUJA	315.11	12.71	6.37	35.17
G_{3}- LOCAL GEDA	298.69	8.77	4.23	42.00
G_{4}- LOCAL DENGA	289.43	7.64	4.46	43.33
G_{5}- SUREKHA	307.91	9.59	5.41	37.00
G_{6}- CHIV -7	265.29	8.23	5.83	52.00
G_{7}- CHIV - 8	274.44	8.05	5.90	47.33
F-Test	S	S	S	S
S.Ed	3.66	0.59	0.41	0.75
CD @ 5%	7.97	1.28	0.89	1.62

Genotypes	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit diameter (cm)	Average Fruit weight (g)	No. of seeds per fruit	No. of fruits per plant	Fruit yield per plant(kg)	Fruit yield per ha(t/ha)
G_{1}- ARKA NEELACHAL KHUNKI	6.12	1.81	18.09	107	321	6.68	14.24

G_{2}- ARKA NEELACHAL SABUJA	5.67	2.87	21.08	122	422	8.82	17.35
G_{3}- LOCAL GEDA	3.22	2.24	16.28	85	265	4.46	9.64
G_{4}- LOCAL DENGA	3.38	2.84	17.34	92	273	4.84	8.25
G_{5}- SUREKHA	4.11	2.77	19.04	118	384	7.49	15.32
G_{6}- CHIV -7	4.35	2.51	16.75	71	237	3.88	8.46
G_{7}- CHIV - 8	4.46	2.56	16.52	115	244	4.31	8.55
F-Test	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
S.~~ED~~Ed	0.22	0.15	0.23	0.84	2.75	0.19	0.19
CD @ 5%	0.49	0.32	0.57	1.82	5.99	0.42	0.41

Table 2: Evaluation of yield parameters in ivy gourd genotypes.

Table 3: Evaluation of quality parameters and economics in ivy gourd genotypes.

	Quality parameters		**Economics**		
Treatments	**TSS**	**Ascorbic acid**	**Gross Returns (Rs/ha)**	**Net Returns (Rs/ha)**	**Benefit Cos ratio**
G_{1}- ARKA NEELACHAL KHUNKI	3.82	14.33	2,84,800	1,27,940	1.81
G_{2}- ARKA NEELACHAL SABUJA	4.33	15.34	3,47,000	1,90,140	2.21
G_{3}- LOCAL GEDA	3.30	13.84	1,92,800	35,940	1.22

G_4- LOCAL DENGA	3.66	13.42	1,65,000	8,140	1.05
G_5- SUREKHA	3.95	12.32	3,06,400	1,49,540	1.95
G_6- CHIV -7	2.53	11.18	1,69,200	12,340	1.07
G_7- CHIV - 8	2.83	12.85	1,71,000	14,140	1.09
F-Test	S	S			
S.E~~D~~d	0.19	1.34			
CD @ 5%	0.41	2.79			

4. CONCLUSION

From the present ~~investigation~~ study, it is concluded that the genotype G_2-Arka Neelachal Sabuja found superior in terms of vine length (315.11 cm), petiole length (6.37 cm), internodal length (12.71cm), fruit diameter (2.87 cm), average fruit weight (21.08 g), ~~No~~no. of seeds for per fruit (122), ~~No~~no. of fruits per plant (422), fruit yield per plant (8.82 kg)~~,~~, fruit yield per hectare (17.35 t/ha), TSS (4.33), Ascorbic acid (15.34 mg/100g) and minimum days taken for first female flower anthesis (35.17 days). However, ~~whereas m~~maximum fruit length obtained from genotype G_1- Arka Neelachal Khunki (6.12 cm). Among the ~~different~~ tested genotypes, ~~the~~ highest ~~Gross~~ gross return (Rs/ha) (3, 47,000), ~~Net~~ net return (Rs/ha) (1, 90,140), benefit cost ratio (2.21) was also obtained from genotype G2 i.e. Arka Neelachal Sabuja.

REFERENCES

1. **Akram V., Majid A., Abdolkarim K., Ramaraj S., Sang UN Park, Akifumi S., Takashi M. and Yoshiharu F. (2021).** Evaluation of growth, yield, and biochemical attributes of Bitter Gourd (*Momordica charantia L.*) Cultivars under Karaj Conditions in Iran. Plants (2021), 10, (7), 1370.

2. **Alli Rani E and Jansirani P (2014)** Evaluation of ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* (Roxb.) L.) genotypes for yield and quality traits under Coimbatore condition. *Trends in Biosciences* 2014;7 (5):343-346..

3. **Bhave, S.G., Mehta, J.L., Bendale, V.W., Mhatre, P.P. and Pethe, U.B., (2002).** Character association and path coefficient analysis of bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.). *The Orissa J. Hort*., 31(1)

4. **Behera, T.K.; Dey, S.S. and Sirohi, P.S. (2006).** DBGy-201 and DBGy-202: Two gynoecious lines in bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.) isolated from indigenous source. *Indian J. Genet.* 66(1): 61-62

5. **Bharathi J, Madan J. (2020)** Studies on variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and yield attributing characters in Ivy gourd (*Coccinia grandis L*.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*.; 9 (2):1682- 1685.

6. **Bharti Jha and Madan Jha. (2020**). Character association and yield components studies in Ivy gourd (*Coccinia grandis L. Vogt.*) *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* 2020; 9(2): 1656-1659

7. **Bhardwaj, D.R.; Pandey, S.; Rai, A.B. and Saha, S. (2012)**. Genetic improvement of gourd crops. *Annual Report of Indian Institute of Vegetable Research*, Varanasi, pp. 27-29.

8. **Dudhat, M. and Patel, K**.**(2020)** Evaluation of integrated nutrient management on the performance of quality and yield attributes of hybrid bitter gourd VNR 22 (*Momordica charantia L*.). *J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem.* (2020), 9, 1643–1645

9. **Hitesh Nag, Devi Singh, Vijay Bahadur and J. P. Collis. (2012)**. Evaluation of ivy gourd (*Coccinia cordifolia L*.) genotypes in Allahabad agro-climatic condition. *Hort Flora Research Spectrum*, 1(3): 259-262

10. **Jitendra TK, Pilania S, Kaushik RA, Lakhawat SS, Meena MK, Rajawat KS, et al. (2020)** Genetic variability analysis in local germplasm of Ivy gourd (Coccinia grandis L.) in Southern Rajasthan conditions. *Journal of Applied science and Technology*.;39 (15):104-111.

11. **Krishnamoorthy V, Ananthan M (2017)** Evaluation of ridge gourd (Luffa acutangula (Roxb.) L.) genotypes for higher yield. *Journal of Krishi Vigyan*, 2017;6 (1):229-231.

12. **Madhavi N, Naidu LN, Reddy RVSK, Uma Jyothi K, Ratna Babu D, Uma Krishna K. (2021**) Evaluation of ridge gourd hybrids for growth and yield. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2021;10 (5):1385-1388

13. .

14. **Mounica N, Priyadarshani PM, Pushparani Devi A, Triveni D, Sudha Rani Y (2019)** Evaluation studies of bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia L*.) genotypes based on growth, yield and yield attributing characters. *Chemical Science Review and Letters*. 2019;8 (30):241-244.

15. **Pariari, M.; Maity, T.K. and Som, M.G. (2005).** Evaluation of germplasm in pointed gourd (*Trichosanthes dioica Roxb.). Horticultural J*. 18(3): 168-172.

16. **Sohi A, Prasad VM, Bahadur V, Topno ES**.(**2012)** Hybrids evaluation of bottle gourd [Lagenaria siceraria (Molina) Standl.] for fruit growth yield quality and morphological traits in Prayagraj Agro-Climatic conditions. Biological Forum: *An International Journal.* 2021;13 (2):477-480.

17. **Thakur P, Sharma D, Visen VK, Dash SP (2015)** Evaluation of bottle gourd [Lagenaria Siceraria (Mol.) Standl.] genotypes. *Plant Archives.* 2015;15 (2):1037-1040.

18. **Vandana T, Sushil K, Rajni T, Chormule SR (2018**)Yield and yield contributing traits of bitter gourd (Momordica charantia L.) genotypes. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry.* 2018;7 (3):844-846.