

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_104140</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>EVALUATION TRIAL OF IVY GOURD GENOTYPES (<i>Coccinia grandis</i> L) UNDER PRAYAGRAJ AGRO CLIMATIC CONDITIONS</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes, please modify as per comments.</b></p> <p>Please modify as per reviewer comments.</p> <p>It requires major revision. The suggestions have been given in the text. Please incorporate as per comments.</p> <p>The reference of same crop should be incorporate. Other crop reference need to remove if same crop reference is available there.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Require major corrections. Sentences are not correct. Comments have been given in text. Please modify them.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Manuscript is written very poorly. Need to rewrite it in proper way of all section i.e. introduction, materials and methods, results and discussion (need not use numbering system of paragraph n results and discussion). Remove the unnecessary references.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Vikrant Singh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Punjab Agricultural University, India</b>