

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_104139
Title of the Manuscript:	Workplace risk assessment in the automobile sector of Uttarakhand
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The title is not clear in terms of contribution to the original article.</p> <p>2. It is written in terms of structure but no satisfactory contribution included.</p> <p>3. Inconsistency of structure, numbering of the headings and sub headings are not matching</p> <p>4. The manuscript is written as it is scientifically correct but the contents of the manuscript is very shallow and has no much contribution both in theoretical and empirical aspects.</p> <p>5. The references are very old and the most recent one is 2019 where there are 2020, 2021,2022, 2023 references. The study reference list are also not in appropriate style. In general the paper is written shallowly like an assignment.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kassu Jilcha Sileyew
Department, University & Country	Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa Institute of Technology, Ethiopia