

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_CJAST_105135 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Web Data Mining: A Research Review |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u> | Yes, No No Yes Yes No, at least 7 more references are needed 1. No citation has been seen in the introduction section. 2. The problem they highlighted is genuine; however, they have followed a weak approach. 3. The figures are blurred, high quality images are needed 4. Again citation is missing in the second section. 5. The other sections are well written, however, the author must reduce the similarity from their manuscript and enhance in literature by including a table containing pros and cons of the area they discussed in the introduction | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | No problem | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Name: | Saeed Ullah Jan |
| Department, University & Country | Govt College, Pakistan |