

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRAF_104428</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Selection of the most suitable weeding method and plant density in Maize plant (Zea mays L.) based on morphological and physiological characteristics</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajraf.com/index.php/AJRAF/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in thereview form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Title must need to concise</li> <li>-</li> <li>- The content of the introduction is very poor. I did not find any significant objective written by the author in this section. Authors are requested to provide information on the recent previous study, constraints (why do this type of research?), the rationale of your study, and the projected output that will be beneficial on the world research aspect in the introduction section.</li> <li>- In "material and method", needs information, average climate data during the study period, layout, and design of the study. The author must be mentioned what statistical tools did you use for data analysis in the material and method.</li> <li>- Also, request to mention the procedures/measures of data collection in material and method.</li> <li>- "result and discussion" : need to change Table format the text. Please used LSD/DMRT to compare mean data in all Table with the value of SE.</li> </ul> <p>-In discussion must need to add more recent references to improve the interpretation quality. In discussion, please add recent references.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- In conclusion, the author must focus on the exact output and recommendations for future researchers.</li> <li>-</li> <li>- I observe only 5 references, however, it is not enough to provide evidence and explore your research. Please add recent related references in the introduction and discussion part.</li> <li>- Manuscripts need English editing to improve the text quality.</li> <li>- suggested to rewrite the manuscript and resubmit again.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Need to improve the text of the manuscript. The language is very poor and impature.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Overall, the manuscript can be considered after revising the above-mentioned points properly and the point highlighted in the main body of the manuscript with track changed.</p> <p>I request to the Editor please make sure regarding the manuscript correctionby the author before further processing.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Md Mahmudul Hasan Khan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institution, Bangladesh</b>