

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_104590
Title of the Manuscript:	Outpatients' Satisfaction with Pharmacists Medication Counselling in a Nigerian Teaching Hospital
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmpcp.com/index.php/AJMPCP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- The study is about the satisfaction of patient in a teaching hospital in Nigeria.</p> <p>2- Yes, it is.</p> <p>3- Yes, it is, but it is long (298 words).</p> <p>4- Yes, it is.</p> <p>5- Yes. But, it needs revising.</p> <p>6- Yes, it is.</p> <p>7- Please use Medicine instead of Drug (narcotic).</p> <p>8- There are some typographical error.</p> <p>9- In table 1 some of percent sum are not 100.</p> <p>10- The variables in table 2 are not introduced in method section.</p> <p>11-Delete this table and add it as a total row in table 2.</p> <p>12-Nothing was mentioned about correlation in method section.</p> <p>13-In table 4 for testing age and income use independent samples t-test.</p> <p>14-Take the result of table 5 to text and delete the table.</p> <p>14- Linear regression with $R^2=0.01$ is very bad regression model.</p> <p>15-In table 6 the first parameter entered to the model is not significant.</p> <p>16- Do not repeat method and results in conclusion.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, it is.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Masoud Roudbari
Department, University & Country	Iran University of Medical Sciences, Iran