

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_104488
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECT OF ADKAR-BASED CHARACTER DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT ON SOCIAL COMPETENCE AND TEACHER PRODUCTIVITY IN MADRASAH ALYAH SA'ADATUL ABADIYAH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes. The manuscript contribute to the body of the knowledge</b></p> <p><b>No. The researcher should specify whether this work is experiment study or not. New title (ASSESSMENT OF ADKAR-BASED CHARACTER DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT ON SOCIAL COMPETENCE AND TEACHER PRODUCTIVITY)</b></p> <p><b>The abstract do not provide information on the sampling techniques used and the number of population. The validity and reliability of the instrument was not established</b></p> <p><b>The researcher should provide section for objective of the study and research questions and hypothesis if any</b> <b>The manuscript is not scientifically correct because the validity and reliability of the instrument is not established. Also the purpose and research question is not well spell out.</b></p> <p><b>The researcher should use APA style of reference</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The English language used in the article is suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The researcher should state the design of the study, population of the study, sampling techniques used and how he/she arrive at 26 teachers. The section of purpose of the study should be provided as well as research question and hypothesis if any. The problems of the study should flows on the background of the study. The researcher should provide the gap. Why are you carried out the study? Lastly the validity and reliability index of the multiple choice question should be addressed.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Eneja Remigius Uchenna</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Nigeria, Nigeria</b>