

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ AJEE_103859
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF GEOHELMINTH CONTAMINATION OF PLAYGROUND AND SANITATION COMPLIANCE AMONG PRIMARY SCHOOLS IN THE RURAL AREA OF AKWA IBOM STATE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, it surely is. A simple and solid practical helminthological study that is of value for epidemiological characteristics of the selected territories.</p> <p>Yes, it depicts the content of the study.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Yes, certainly.</p> <p>Overall, it is. Description of the results requires amendment (see below)</p> <p>Yes, they are.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Unfortunately, despite obvious practical value of the study for epidemiologists and parasitologists, the manuscript requires significant language revision and extensive proof-reading.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Headings of the tables require amending. In Table 3, the main heading bearing the names of helminths, should be re-named as: "Number and percentage of samples (in brackets) positive for", then the names of each helminth follow below. Otherwise, it is not clear what stands before the % in brackets.</p> <p>In table 5, the heading should be amended. "%" should be omitted from the names of the columns and replaced by "Number". There is no percentage in brackets in the figures shown in the 3rd and the 4th columns, just the numbers of objects found.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Igor Bondarenko
Department, University & Country	North West Research Centre, Russia