

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_103524
Title of the Manuscript:	Issues of solid waste management and ways of recovering them at the Mfidi market in the municipality Of Ngaba in Kinshasa
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Needs to rework on certain sections to bring out the scientific output required</p> <p>I have enhanced it so as to make it reflective of the work as below: Issues of Solid Waste Management and Recovery in Mfidi, Ngaba Municipality, Kinshasa</p> <p>Could re-write to have a flow though it is capturing the content in the paper</p> <p>Consider ensuring that all subsections are in English</p> <p>Yes, though there is need to reorganize the results section</p> <p>References could be improved on to be recent references and more relevant to the study</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Work on having the entire document is in English language and work on the grammar errors	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The paper has interesting results though needs to be reorganized so as to bring out the content better	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bessy Kathambi
Department, University & Country	University of Nairobi, Kenya