

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_104117
Title of the Manuscript:	RISK ASSESSMENT OF OUTDOOR GAMMA RADIATION IN SOME COASTAL COMMUNITIES OF DELTA STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the study was able to highlight the influence of various industrial activities (oil and gas) in these coastal region on the health of the residents in the communities.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Should be broken down to: Introduction and aim of the study, then materials and methods, Results, Conclusion & Recommendation if any.</p> <p>Yes, However, the place and date of calibration of the detectors used should be stated.</p> <p>Nothing was said about the reason while the dose to different organs in the two communities are the same as shown in the pie charts.</p> <p>The cited references in the body of the manuscript were not related to the listed references: 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 13.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes but need minor corrections - need to use past tense for reported findings</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bidemi I. Akinlade
Department, University & Country	College of Medicine, University of Ibadan, Nigeria