

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_103703
Title of the Manuscript:	RESPONSE OF RICE (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) VARIETIES TO SALICYLIC ACID, POTASSIUM SILICATE AND TAMARIND EXTRACT IN SALINE SOILS OF KANO RIVER IRRIGATION SCHEME
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajahr.com/index.php/AJAHR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment:	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The research reached its objective by suggesting that tamarind extract could be introduced to farmers when growing rice under saline or sodic conditions in KRIS. Arica 1 and Faro 44 rice varieties are suggested to be grown by farmers under saline or sodic condition in the same locations.</p> <p>The research is publishable without any modifications. The researcher is committed to all necessary scientific steps to reach the goal of the research and access to practical results</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes. 2. Yes. 3. Yes. 4. Yes. 5. Yes. 6. Yes. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The research should contain an economic evaluation of any new application suitable for the study.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>No</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Heba Yassin Abd El Fattah
Department, University & Country	National Research Centre, Egypt