

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_103208
Title of the Manuscript:	Hidden Curriculum Activities on Numeracy and Literacy Development in Early Grade Education: Perspectives from Elementary School Teachers in Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is very good for scientific community. The discussion are vivid and clear.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes, very comprehensive</p> <p>4. Yes, to some extend</p> <p>5. Very correct</p> <p>6. The reference are sufficient but, not recent. If more recent reference were used, it would have been very perfect. Except the author will proof that they are not recent research on this topic.</p> <p>7. The author should use the DOI reference style. What is used in the article is the APA reference style.</p> <p>The topic is interesting.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	English need to be updated	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	No weakness observed. The article is good.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Regmich Amos Abangncha
Department, University & Country	University of Buea, Cameroon