

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_92895
Title of the Manuscript:	Modified Limberg Flap in pilonidal sinus - Our experience
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In the introduction, the proportional count of the affected population is incorrect, a zero is missing.</p> <p>When the author cites a work as a reference in the text, it is normal to place the date of publication after et al., for example Daphan et al, 2004.</p> <p>Patients with cases of comorbidities, obesity, diabetes mellitus, immunosuppressed patients and those with recurrent pilonidal cyst were not cited as inclusion or exclusion factors.</p> <p>Tables 1, 2 and 3 are incorrect, the rows and columns are missing.</p> <p>Table 4 is not a table, but a painting.</p> <p>The references presented are old, considering that 78% of them are more than 10 years old.</p> <p>Reference number 5 does not have the journal of origin.</p> <p>The reference letter is different from the text.</p> <p>And a detail that I consider fundamental and that is missing, which are the images of the surgical technique proposed by the authors.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Valdemir José Alegre Salles
Department, University & Country	University of Taubaté, Brazil