

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_95261
Title of the Manuscript:	To Analyse Trend, Change and Fluctuation in Area, Production & Productivity of Coriander Production in Different Districts of Chhattisgarh
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Analyses of Trend, Change and Fluctuation in Area, Production & Productivity of Coriander Production in Different Districts of Chhattisgarh.</p> <p>Abstract not adequately presented.</p> <p>Not at all check the reviewed manuscript.</p> <p>Partially.</p> <p>No references were cited in the text.</p> <p>Other observations are: Use of tones instead on tons as the most commonly used in India as against tonnes, though both are English terms. Thus, consistency is required. Always centre the Table and should appear immediately when mentioned. Always justify the text. 1.3 period of study should be gnat chart preferably.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Just acceptable	
Optional/General comments	The author (s) should revised the manuscript again.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lucas Albert Jerome Hamidu
Department, University & Country	Nigerian Building and Road Research Institute, Nigeria