

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_103578
Title of the Manuscript:	REVIEW OF GULLY EROSION IN ANAMBRA STATE: CAUSES, EFFECTS, CONTROL MEASURES AND CHALLENGES ASSOCIATED WITH ITS MITIGATION
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalgeesi.com/index.php/JGEESI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, The study of gully erosion is very important because erosion is a process that causes erosion and changes in the landform</p> <p>2. Yes, suitable</p> <p>3. Abstract is only in the form of narrative or descriptive in nature without the support of information and quantitative data or analysis data result. should be more sharpened in the abstract regarding the causal factors.</p> <p>4. Yes, the Structure must be introduction, cause, effect, control measures, and challenges</p> <p>5. usually review journals provide more detailed information, especially related to research data presented in the form of review tables, so that the information provided is clearer and more scientific, not just narrative</p> <p>6. there are still references that are too old but some are also the latest, usually review journals require a lot of references</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Its oke</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - as a whole, it should also be supplemented by analysis results and quantitative data such as data on what soil pH can cause ditch erosion, topography, slope, slope and rainfall data... and should be presented in tabular form not just narrative - the map layout should be improved as well as the image quality according to good map rules 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nurlina Abdullah
Department, University & Country	Lambung Mangkurat University, Indonesia