

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_104954
Title of the Manuscript:	Recent trends and advances in the removal of dyes from industrial waste water using low cost adsorbents (2015-2021)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirjpac.com/index.php/IRJPAC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The manuscript is only a review of the literature. As a guide to options for dye removal from aqueous waste is fine. As a research article not.</p> <p>The title reflects the objectives of the research. So I think the title of the article is appropriate.</p> <p>The abstract is not structured.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically written properly.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>We must improve grammar and spelling. There are many misspelled words</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>You have to give a revision to the wording. Many grammar and spelling errors</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hermes Ulises Ramirez Sanchez
Department, University & Country	Instituto De Astronomía Y Meteorología, Universidad De Guadalajara, Mexico