

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ IJPSS _104241
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDY OF PLANT DIVERSITY IN RED SANDERS PARK IN CHITTOOR DISTRICT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

((<https://journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>))

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript has some potential and I recommend it is published after the authors undertake major revisions in certain areas. The results and discussion need to be well organized. The results including tables and figures are just so much and this makes it hard for the reader to follow. The results section should only provide key findings. The authors presented a lot of results according to quadrats. I recommend aggregating and presenting the results per site and not for every sampled quadrat plot they assessed. I recommend summarizing the results and reducing the contents in that section.</p> <p>Also, the authors did not discuss the results in light of the broader literature on the topic. I found the discussion of the results with citations missing. The results need to be discussed using relevant literature.</p> <p>2. The title is suitable but the authors need to add the study country to the title.</p> <p>3. The abstract is appropriate but the English needs to be substantially improved throughout the entire manuscript.</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript need to be improved significantly. Cut down unnecessary subsections and information so readers can understand the key results or message you are trying to convey.</p> <p>5. There is a scientific base to this manuscript.</p> <p>6. References are okay.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English throughout the entire manuscript needs to be substantially improved. I suggest spell-check or proofreading or using writing software like Grammarly etc</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The conclusions are somehow superficial because they are just a restatement of the results. The conclusion should do the job of highlighting the usefulness of the results or implications of the findings for biodiversity conservation. I suggest that the authors really think through and write well-thought-out ideas of how the results could be useful for society or the environment.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aruna Kainyande
Department, University & Country	Technische Universität Dresden, Germany