

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_103903
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Row Ratio on Growth and Yield of Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i>) and Mustard (<i>Brassica nigra</i>) Intercropping System
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- No</p> <p>2- Yes 3- No</p> <p>4- Yes 5- No</p> <p>6- No</p> <p>Revision comments 1- The topic is important but the author not contributed to it any development. 2- The author need to rewrites paraphrases the summary and his conclusions. 3- The author needs a clear reformulation of the introduction (e.g red color). 4- The author did not perform chemical analyzes of the grain produces but depend on morphology study only. 5- The author needs to review the modern methods used in this study like other study. 6- The author should write references and abbreviations at the bottom of each table for clarification. 7- Statistical analysis needs references, and clarification number of the replicates number used of each treatment so it is favourite write as the correction with red color under each table. 8-The results need to be reformulated and presented in a more appropriate particularly the author use three samples only but statistical analysis need yo use more thane 5 sample / treatment improve the quality of the article. 9-Article topic is required for farmers to increases crops product. 10- The article considers as farmer educational but not a scientific research for development this field. 11-The article lacks originality and does not provide any scientific addition in the subject area of the article. 12-The article needs more and recent references in the methods used and there is a lot of scientific literature related to the article. 13- The author needs to review the methods used with addition the recent references. 14- The author must clarify the chemical composition of seed crops produce. 15- The author should write references and abbreviations at the bottom of each table as for clarification. 16- The author mentioned the use of three replicates in statistical analysis with no references and must be more than five replicates which accuracy the obtained results. 17-References number 5, 6, 7, 8, 12 (blue color) not present in text and references 3, 4 (Red color) need correct in references list and in text. 18--Results and discussion need to be reformulated for improving the presentation of the article. 19- Finally, the decision to publish is left to you and the members of the editorial board of the magazine.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1- No</p> <p>1- The author rewrites and paraphrases the summary and conclusion as shown in red</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>2- The author needs a clear reformulation of the introduction as shown in red color.</p> <p>3- The author needs to review the recent methods used in this study.</p> <p>4-The author needs to review the methods used with addition the recent references.</p> <p>5-References number 5, 6, 7, 8, 12 (blue color) not present in text and references 3, 4 (Red color) need correct in references list and in text.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>1- The author did not perform chemical analyzes of the obtained plant seed.</p> <p>2-The author should write references and abbreviations at the bottom of each table for clarification.</p> <p>3-The article considers as farmer educational but not a scientific research for development this field.</p> <p>4- The results need to be reformulated and presented in a more appropriate and clear manner to improve the quality of the manuscript.</p> <p>Constructive criticism</p> <p>1- I think that this paper is not a complete scientific research.</p> <p>3-The author did not do rigorous research work to develop the effective used in the present study.</p> <p>3-The article lacks originality and does not provide any scientific addition in the subject area of the article.</p> <p>4-- The author mentioned the use of three replicates in statistical analysis with no references and must be more than five replicates which accuracy the obtained results.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sorial Adly Moharib
Department, University & Country	Biotechnology Research Institute, National Research Centre, Egypt