

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_103852
Title of the Manuscript:	A study of trends and extreme weather events took place at the Raipur district blocks
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The topic of the manuscript is important and deals with one of the important subjects: evidence of climate change. The author(s) used appropriate statistical tests and methods to get the results, and discussion was made based on recent references.</p> <p>I suggest to omit 'took place' from the title to be: 'A study of trends and extreme weather events at the Raipur district blocks'</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive, clear and to the point</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The author(s) need to revise the style of writing the given reference to be unified (&/and); (pp/not); (capitalised names/not); ((year)/year);</p> <p>Too much keyword! I suggest the keywords to be reduced to 5, as follows: IMD gridded data; Trend analysis; Parametric tests; Non-parametric tests; Climatic indecies</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Define (AMSL) upon first use before the abbreviation. Adjust the geographical location; there must be extended latitudes as well as longitudes.</p> <p>The manuscript needs proofreading before publication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tarek M. El-Geziry
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries, Egypt