

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JAMMR_103714</b>   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Timely Diagnosis and Management of Pulmonary Embolism induced ischemic stroke in elderly patients</b> |
| Type of the Article      |  |

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|--|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p><b>Required REVIEW Comments</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important to the scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write a few sentences in this manuscript)<br/>R/ THE SUBJECT OF THIS MANUSCRIPT IS IMPORTANT GIVEN THE SUBJECT OF THE RESEARCH. MORE MUST I EMPHASIZE THAT IN THE BIBLIOGRAPHIC SURVEY ARTICLES (REVIEW) ON THE TOPIC WERE LOCATED. ALREADY PUBLISHED</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article adequate?</b><br/>(If not, please suggest an alternative title)<br/>R/ ACCORDING TO THE THEME THE TITLE IS CORRECT.</li> <li>3. <b>Is the article summary comprehensive?</b><br/>R/ ES SUMMARY IS ATYPICAL. DO NOT FOLLOW A JOURNAL INDICATION. DOES NOT DEFINE OBJECTIVE; DOES NOT DEFINE METHODOLOGY; DOES NOT DEFINE SEARCH LOCATION.</li> <li>4. <b>Are the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b><br/>R/ THIS TYPE OF ARTICLE (WHICH HAS NOT BEEN DEFINED), THE AUTHORS DIVIDED INTO 4 PARTS. INTRODUCTION, TWO ITEMS WITH SUB-INDEX OF THE INTRODUCTION AND SOON THE CONCLUSIONS, IN WHICH IT REPEATS MANY THINGS ALREADY SAID IN THE ARTICLE.</li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b><br/>R/ THIS ARTICLE (OPINION SITES, COMMENTS) IS NOT VERY DEFINED IN THE JOURNAL'S GUIDELINES.</li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them on the review form.</b><br/>R/ THE REFERENCES ARE SUFFICIENT FOR THIS TYPE OF PUBLICATION AND ARE UP TO DATE, EXCEPT FOR ONE PUBLICATION WHICH IS FROM 1996.</li> </ol> <p><b>(In addition to the 6 points mentioned above, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> <p>R/ THIS ARTICLE ARRIVED WITHOUT INDICATION OF THE TYPE OF ARTICLE TO PUBLISH. I REQUESTED INFORMATION FOR THE JOURNAL, AND THERE WAS NO ANSWER UNTIL NOW. THEREFORE, I DECIDED THAT IT BE AN ARTICLE OF COMMENTS OR OPINIONS, AND CARRIED OUT ITS ASSESSMENT. I CONSIDER THAT THE TOPIC IS INTERESTING, BUT THERE ARE ALREADY REVIEWS ON THE TOPIC PUBLISHED. A PLAGIOUS ANALYSIS WAS CARRIED OUT THROUGH THE PLAGIUS PROGRAM, WHICH INDICATES A LOW LEVEL OF COINCIDENCE.</p> |  |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>   | <p>REVIEW minor comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the language/English quality of the article adequate for scholarly communications?</b><br/>R/ THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE USED IN THE ARTICLE IS SUITABLE FOR ACADEMIC COMMUNICATION</li> </ol>  |  |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>   |  |  |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |  |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Anselmo Enrique Ferrer Hernandez</b> |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Havana University, Cuba</b>          |