

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_102822
Title of the Manuscript:	Appraisal of Government Recurrent Expenditure Pre- and Post-Integrated Personnel Payroll Information System Implementation in Nigeria
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajeba.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in thereview form.</p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language of the article is quite remarkable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p><b>GENERAL REMARKS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Because the paper is well-written, purposeful, and well-explained, I recommend that it be accepted. The paper is target-arranged, has not digressed from the focal topic of the paper. The creator has delightfully organized the paper which has made simple for the analyst and some other individual to comprehend.</li> <li>➤ The significant strength of the paper is its: the way of composing the paper, all around facilitated, utilization of suitable factual investigation technique, references appropriately embedded, refreshed content and utilization of basic English with great punctuation. The paper is powerful and expressive.</li> <li>➤ As a result, the paper does not contain any flaws, but there is always room for improvement.</li> <li>➤ The paper's title is appropriate.</li> <li>➤ The abstract is written clearly and concisely while keeping in mind the paper's additional content and context.</li> </ul>	

	<p><b>ORIGINALITY</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>➤ In terms of the paper's originality, it had added a lot of meaning and data for other readers by incorporating appropriate and up-to-date citations everywhere, bringing a lot of new knowledge to the table.</li></ul> <p><b>BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>➤ The majority of the points covered in the paper's subsequent headings were elaborated on and explained in the introduction, which provided sufficient background information about the study. The literature and various appropriate theories served as inspiration for the study. By providing a few definitions at the beginning, the introduction has taken control of the paper and has made subsequent reading easier.</li><li>➤ The author has taken care of every aspect of the research methodology and statistical analysis, so this study is absolutely necessary.</li><li>➤ The paper's aim and objectives are concise, focused, and well-integrated with the paper's findings and questionnaire.</li></ul> <p><b>WRITING SURVEY</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>➤ The paper contains sufficient and refreshed writing survey. The survey is very much made sense of and are suitable to the point and title of the paper.</li><li>➤ The literature review has a solid and precise structure. It hasn't been extravagant. The chosen literature review is purposeful and well-written because the author is focused on his or her research.</li><li>➤ The arguments are crystal clear and well-explained, and they have taken every step to support the study's central point.</li></ul> <p><b>METHODOLOGY</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>➤ The concept has always been relevant to the paper's context, and the paper has utilized appropriate theories. The paper's title was the result of the theories being well-explained with relevant examples and cases.</li><li>➤ Aside from hypotheses, the examination techniques utilized are fitting and result situated. The calculations are clear and written in a way that makes them easy to understand for newcomers.</li></ul>	
--	--	--

## Review Form 1.7

	<p><b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>➤ The concepts and theories presented in the paper have always been in line with the context of the paper. The paper's title was the result of the theories being well-explained with relevant examples and cases.</li><li>➤ The research methods used are appropriate and result-oriented, in addition to theories. The calculations are clear and written in a way that makes them easy to understand for newcomers.</li><li>➤ Indeed, the tables and logical figures and flowcharts are coordinating and organizing with one another.</li></ul> <p><b>END</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>➤ Indeed, the end is harmonious with the outcomes and different pieces of the paper. The conclusion is flawless and serves the purpose of each and every point discussed in the paper. The calculated study and data have been discussed in detail in the conclusion.</li><li>➤ Indeed, the examination suggestions are plainly made sense of in a very much organized way.</li></ul> <p><b>COMMUNICATION:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>➤ Communication is exceptionally clear. Thoughts are very much communicated and elegantly composed. The substance is basic and has worked with the peruser to see well and rapidly.</li><li>➤ The language of English is appropriate. It is effective, expressive, and free of grammatical and punctuational errors.</li></ul>	
--	---	--

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Abhishek Sharma</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bareilly College, M. J. P. Rohilkhand University, India</b>