

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_103453
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative evaluation of Buccal fat pad and Nasolabial flap for the surgical management of Oral Submucous Fibrosis
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>ABSTRACT-NOT UPTO MARK-NEED TO MODIFY BY EMPHASING IN THE CONVENTIONAL AND ADVANCED SURGICAL GRAFT PLACEMNT WITH ITS ADVANTAGES OVER EACHOTHER. SUBSECTION- Need to modify the main text as it is giving an impression of copy and paste from the original thesis/short study synopsis file only.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>References- Add and cite latest references.</p> <p>Author is advised to go through the manuscript guidelines and format it accordingly.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It will be more needful if authors add more implication of this study and make it more novels.</li> <li>Need to write the limitations as already established literature with same methodology is documented.</li> <li>Proofreading in context of punctuation is required.</li> </ul>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Akansha Budakoti</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>K. M. Shah Dental College &amp; Hospital, Sumandeep Vidyapeeth Deemed To Be University, India</b>