

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_104617
Title of the Manuscript:	Financial Feasibility Analysis of The Robusta Coffee Beans Selling Using the Fermentation Method with Kefir Starter at CV. Asmak Kopi
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The results and conclusion of the research can not be generalized, due to implemented in one firm (CV. As,ak Kopi). However the research could be considered as preliminary research for researches on fermentation method with kefir starter.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive but needs some improvement: A. Shorten the abstract to maximum of 150 - 200 words. B. Write the abstract in one paragraph, without subsections.</p> <p>4. The review of literature and previous researches sub-sub sections should be separated From method sub section and they are included in Introduction subsection.</p> <p>5. The manuscript, to be a scientific article, need improvements related to comments no. 1, 3, and 6.</p> <p>6. References should be intensified through the review scientific articles published in scientific journals.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prabowo Tjitropranoto
Department, University & Country	IPB University, Indonesia