

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMPS_103401</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Treatment of Metastatic Prostate Cancer Using Hormonal Therapy: A narrative review</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)

**Review Form 1.7**

<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The article merits publication for the benefit of scientific community. The article provides much necessary knowledge in a concise manner.</p> <p>The article is very well written, covering all major aspects of prostate cancer including its genetics, basis of hormonal treatment and multiple hormonal Treatment options available for the patient. Also, the authors have written various treatment options available for the patients in cases of castration resistant prostate cancer.</p> <p>However, the reviewer would like to provide a suggestion to the authors. PSMA based radionuclide therapies (Lu-177 PSMA ligand radionuclide therapies) have come up recently as a valuable treatment options for mCRPC, who have progressed despite Docetaxel therapy. Recently published VISION trial and TheraP trial have proven its role in the management of castration resistant prostate cancers.</p> <p>The authors can incorporate a word about this therapy to make the article more comprehensive and make the reader aware regarding these therapeutic option.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Ankur Pruthi</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Manipal Hospital, India</b></p>