

## Original Research Article

# Comparison of DTPA Extracting Methods for Available Zinc with Multinutrient Extractants in Vertisols and Inceptisols

Comment [R1]: between

### ABSTRACT

**Aims:** To develop relationships between some universal soil extractants and legacy methods.

**Place and Duration of Study:** Study was carried out at IISS, Bhopal.

**Methodolog:** Samples were analyzed by different methods being related; e.g. GLOSOLAN/international method or rapid methods/universal extractant and legacy methods. Measured data was obtained under conditions of the best achievable laboratory quality control conditions, for maximal transfer function reliability with lowest RMSE or error (Root Mean a Sum of Square Errors). Out of the 60 samples in each category, a representative set (80% of the samples) were used (e.g. by linear regression analysis) for development of transfer function and the rest 20% of the samples were retained for validation of transfer functions. Residuals (differences) between measured and estimated soil property values were calculated. The correlation and RMSE (Root Mean Square Error) were calculated for the validation data set.

**Results:** For The Zinc extractable DTPA and Mehlich-3 had the a regression coefficient of ( $R^2 = 0.92$ ), while the extracted with DTPA and AB DTPA had the regression coefficient of ( $R^2 = 0.72$  with DTPA), with extraction in the order of Mehlich3 > AB DTPA > DTPA. Hence, Mehlich-3 and AB-DTPA both extractants can replace DTPA extractant for the determination of available micronutrients in soils in Vertisols.

**Conclusion:** In conclusion, different regression equations are developed between the various methods of analysis that must be used in order to compare the results obtained from different extraction methods.

Comment [R2]: Investigate or evaluate compared to legacy

Comment [R3]: Define abbreviation and mention country

Comment [R4]: using

Comment [R5]: what do you mean

Comment [R6]: define category or soil class

Comment [R7]: what about the inceptisols and Mechlich-3 had better results

**Keywords:** Universal extractants, Zinc, DTPA, Mehlich-3

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The phrase "universal soil extractant" has been used to describe a reagent that can be used to extract well over one category of elements and/or ions from a soil, the concentration of which can be used to determine the soil's fertility or toxicity levels. The use of universal extractants in soil testing procedures has several benefits, including raising the dependability of the tests, improving their accuracy and precision, and speeding up routine soil analysis. Over the past few years, a number of procedures have been created and released for quick soil tests to detect the amounts of various components in soil. Multi-nutrient extractants present a workable solution to these problems since they allow for the simultaneous extraction of multiple nutrients. The nutrients can be estimated using a multielement analyzer such as the inductively coupled plasma emission spectrometer or atomic absorption spectrophotometer. The invention of extracting solutions containing chelating agents, primarily DTPA and EDTA, represents one of the most significant developments in micronutrient soil testing. In addition to macronutrients, the Mehlich-3 extractant was created as an all-purpose (many nutrients) extractant for routine analyses of micronutrients (Cu, Fe, Mn, Zn, etc.) for a variety of soils over acidic, neutral, and high pH soils. High correlation coefficients between Zn values obtained by TEA-DTPA and AB-DTPA were discovered. Therefore, it is crucial to assist laboratories in selecting the best approach and to encourage the switch to more environmentally friendly ones. In order to do so, the following information is to be taken care of per SOP (s): (i) health risk (related to the use of chemicals and the overall implementation of the procedure by staff); (ii) Environmental risk (related to waste disposal); (iii) Level of technology required to perform the analysis and (iv)

Comment [R8]: where are the references?

Average duration of the test. Aim of the study was to harmonize methods, data and information to (1) provide reliable and comparable information between countries and projects; (2) allow the generation of new harmonized soil data sets; and (3) support evidence-based decision making for sustainable soil management.

## 2. METHODOLOGY

Extractable micronutrients i.e., zinc, copper were determined using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS) (Lindsay and Norvell, 1978). The multi-nutrient extractant ammonium bicarbonate-DTPA (AB-DTPA) has been shown to be able to extract all of the nutrients—available P, K, and micronutrient simultaneously in alkaline soils. For the chelation of micronutrients, DTPA is employed, whereas bicarbonate is used to remove phosphorus, ammonium for the extraction of potassium, HCO<sub>3</sub> is for the extraction of P, DTPA for chelation of Ca, Mg, and micronutrients: Zn, and Cu. The solution's pH was adjusted to 7.6 (Soltanpour and Schuwab, 1977). Since ammonium bicarbonate is not stable for longer than 24 hours, fresh solutions were made every day for analysis.

Mehlich (1984); eExtracting Solution (0.2 M acetic acid + 0.25 M NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> + 0.015 M NH<sub>4</sub>F + 0.013 M nitric acid + 0.001 M ethylene di-amine tetra acetic acid) by shaking 2 g of soil with 20 mL of the extractant for 5 min at 1:10 soil/solution ratio soil extracts were filtered through Whatman No. 2 filter paper (Mehlich (1984); and the concentration of P was read on. The filtrates were analyzed by ICP at 327.393 nm for Cu, and 206.200 nm for Zn.

Data was interpreted in MS EXCEL using software using DATA ANALYSIS tool and OPSTAT. Descriptive statistics and measure of central tendencies were carried out for micronutrients data with different extractants and ratios. Variability in soil parameters (variants) was analyzed using the coefficient of variation (CV). In order to find out whether the mean difference between two sets of observations is zero, the paired t-test statistical approach was used. This approach offers a number of reports for drawing conclusions regarding the variance between two population means from a paired sample. At a significance level of 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001 the null hypothesis is assumed to be zero in this situation and the degree of significance was compared with the p value for the two tail values.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1.1 DTPA as soil micronutrient (Zinc) extractant as compared with AB-DTPA and Mehlich-3 in Vertisols

Tables 1, for Vertisols provide a summary of the mean values, errors, and range for zinc with various extractants. According to Table 1 and figure 1 and 2; DTPA extractable Zn had a range of 0.112-1.772 mg/kg with a mean value of 0.922mg/kg and had a correlation (r= 0.84\*\*\*) with Mehlich-3 extractable Zinc in a range of 1.67-4.17 mg/kg with a mean value of 3.18mg/kg and AB-DTPA extractable Zn in a range of 0.22-2.04 mg/kg with a mean value of 1.16 mg/kg and had a correlation (r= 0.96\*\*\*)]. In comparison to all other extractants for Vertisol, DTPA and Mehlich-3 had the regression coefficient (R<sup>2</sup>= 0.92) and DTPA and AB-DTPA had the regression coefficient (R<sup>2</sup>= 0.72), with extraction in the order of Mehlich-3>AB DTPA> DTPA. RMSE and MAE is given in table 2

Table 1 Range of Concentrations and Means for available Zinc by DTPA, AB-DTPA and Mehlich-3 in Vertisols

Extractant	Soil: Solution Ratio	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Std. Error	SD
Zn	DTPA	0.11	1.77	0.92	0.79	0.05	0.41
	AB DTPA	0.22	2.04	1.16	1.04	0.05	0.42
	Mehlich-3	1.67	4.17	3.18	3.12	0.06	0.52

Comment [R9]: Mention where did you get the soils and at least salinity, OM, pH should be mentioned

Comment [R10]: check

Comment [R11]: define

Comment [R12]: reference

Comment [R13]: Do you mean for vertisols and inceptisols? Please explain

Comment [R14]: Phrase is too long and misunderstood




Fig.1. Relationship between DTPA and AB-DTPA method of available Zn in Vertisols




Fig. 2. Relationship between DTPA and AB-DTPA method of available Zn in Vertisols

### 3.1.2 DTPA as soil micronutrient (Zinc) extractant as compared with AB-DTPA and Mehlich-3 in Inceptisols

Table 2 provides a summary of the mean values, errors, and ranges in Inceptisols soil for Zinc in various extractants. According to Table 2 and figure 3, 4; DTPA extractable Zn had a range of 0.07-1.96 mg/kg with a mean value of 0.92 mg/kg and had a strong correlation ( $r = 0.89^{***}$ ) with Mehlich-3 extractable Zn in a range of 0.13-2.14 mg/kg with a mean value of 1.07 mg/kg. AB-DTPA extractable Zn was in a range of 0.04-1.79 mg/kg with a mean value of 1.07 mg/kg and had a strong correlation ( $r = 0.91^{***}$ ). In comparison to all other extractants for Inceptisols DTPA and Mehlich-3 had the regression coefficient ( $R^2 = 0.82$ ). DTPA and AB-DTPA had the coefficient of determination ( $R^2 = 0.79$ ), with extraction in the order of Mehlich-3 > AB DTPA > DTPA.

Comment [R15]: Please rewrite to make clear

### Table 2 Range of Concentrations and Means for available Zn by DTPA, AB-DTPA and Mehlich-3 in Inceptisols

Extractant	Soil Solution Ratio	Min.	Max.	Mean	Median	Std. Error	Std. Deviation
DTPA	1:2	0.07	1.96	0.92	0.79	0.05	0.42
Zn AB DTPA	1:2	0.04	1.79	0.82	0.77	0.05	0.39
M3	1:10	0.13	2.14	1.07	0.99	0.05	0.41




Fig. 3 Relationship between DTPA and AB-DTPA method of available Zn in Inceptisols soil




Fig. 4 Relationship between DTPA and AB-DTPA method of available Zn in Inceptisols soil

Table 3 Coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ), Root Mean Square Error and Mean Absolute Error of DTPA as extractant compared with AB-DTPA and Mehlich-3 method for Zinc in both Vertisols and Inceptisols

Vertisols		R <sup>2</sup>	RMSE	MAE
Zn DTPA	Zn AB-DTPA	0.926	0.176	0.132
	Zn Mehlich-3	0.720	0.152	0.096
Inceptisols				
Zn DTPA	Zn AB-DTPA	0.829	0.136	0.111
	Zn Mehlich-3	0.796	2.190	2.180

**Table 4 Correlation coefficients (r) of micronutrient concentrations (Zinc) extracted by DTPA, Mehlich-3 and AB-DTPA in Vertisol and Inceptisol soils.**

DTPA	Mehlich-3	AB DTPA
Vertisol	0.84***	0.96***
Inceptisol	0.89***	0.91***

#### DTPA as soil micronutrient (Zinc) extractant as compared with AB-DTPA and Mehlich-3 in Vertisols

According to Table 1 and figure 1 and 2; DTPA extractable Zn showed highly significant results with a correlation ( $r=0.84^{***}$ ,  $p<0.001$  and  $0.05$ ) with Mehlich-3 which indicates that M3 can extract Zn from soil more than DTPA. AB-DTPA extractable Zn also showed highly significant results with a correlation ( $r=0.96^{***}$ ,  $p<0.001$  and  $0.05$ ). In comparison to all other extractants for Vertisols, DTPA and Mehlich-3 had the regression coefficient ( $R^2=0.92$ ). DTPA and AB-DTPA had the regression coefficient ( $R^2=0.72$ ), with extraction in the order of  $M3>AB\ DTPA>DTPA$ . Hence, M3 and AB-DTPA both extractants can replace DTPA extractant for the determination of available micronutrients in soils of the studied areas.

The presence of acid and EDTA in Mehlich-3 may have contributed to Mehlich-3 higher Zn extraction capability compared to DTPA and AB-DTPA extractants. Moreover, metals such as Fe, Mn, Cu, and Zn are extracted by  $H^+$ , and  $NH_4^+$  ions in M3 extractant. Similar results were reported by authors (Walworth *et al.*, 1992; Volcasek and Friedericks, 1994; Elrashidi *et al.*, 2003; Maftoun *et al.*, 2003; Takrattanasaran *et al.*, 2010) for Vertisols

#### DTPA as soil micronutrient (Zinc) extractant as compared with AB-DTPA and Mehlich-3 in Inceptisols

According to Table 3 and 4 and figure 3 and 4 DTPA extractable Zn and Mehlich-3 extractable Zn showed significant results with the regression coefficient ( $R^2=0.79$ ) with correlation ( $r=0.89^*$  at  $P<0.001$  and  $0.05$ ) and DTPA extractable Zn and AB-DTPA extractable Zn showed non-significant results with the regression coefficient ( $R^2=0.82$ ) in Inceptisol soils with extraction in the order of  $M3>AB\ DTPA>DTPA$ . Similar results were reported by authors (Elrashidi *et al.*, 2003; Malathi and Stalin, 2018; Mekala *et al.*, 2019).

**Comment [R16]:** No need for separate subtitle please include under 3.1.1 and 3.1.2 And please use recent references

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The choice of extraction method for zinc analysis in soil depends on the soil properties, pH conditions, and the specific objectives of the study. DTPA and AB-DTPA are commonly used for acidic to neutral soils, while Mehlich-3 is suitable for a broader pH range. The selection of the appropriate method should consider the specific characteristics of the soil under investigation to obtain accurate and meaningful results for zinc availability assessment. Thus, DTPA and Mehlich-3 cannot be recommended as general test procedures for extraction of the studied micronutrients from natural soil samples having various chemical and physical properties unless field calibrations are collected for these soils.

**Comment [R17]:** Common conclusion not defining the importance of your work

#### REFERENCES

1. Elrashidi MA, Mays MD and Lee CW. Assessment of Mehlich-3 and Ammonium Bicarbonate-DTPA Extraction for Simultaneous Measurement of Fifteen Elements in Soils, *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 2003; 34(19): 2817- 2838
2. Maftoun M, MA Ardejani Hakimzadeh, Karimian N and Ronaghi AM. Evaluation of phosphorus availability for paddy rice using eight chemical soil tests under oxidized and reduced soil conditions. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*. 2003; 34:2115-2129.
3. Malathi P. and Stalin P. Evaluation of AB - DTPA Extractant for Multinutrients Extraction in Soils. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 2018; 7(3): 1192-1205.
4. Lindsay WL and Norvell WA. Development of a DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese and copper. *Soil Sciences Society of America Journal*, 1978; 42: 421-428.
5. Mehlich, A. Mehlich-3 soil test extractant: A modification of Mehlich 2 extractant. *Communication in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 1984; 15: 1409-1416.
6. Mekala Shankaraiah, Mantri Chandidni Patnaik, Purma Soniya, Pasupuleti Surendra Babu and Shukla Aravind Kumar. Evaluation and Comparison of DTPA Extractanting Methods for Available Cationic Micronutrients in Non-acidic Soils of Andhra Pradesh. *India Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology*, 2019;.9: 269-277.
7. Soltanpour PN and Schuwab SP. A new soil test for simultaneous extraction of macro and micro nutrients in alkaline soils. *Communication in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 1977; 8:195-207.
8. Takrattanasaran N, Chanchareonsook J, Thongpae S and Sarobol, E. Evaluation of Mehlich-3 and Ammonium Bicarbonate-DTPA Extractants for Prediction of Available Zinc in Calcareous Soils in Central Thailand. *Kasetsart Journal Nature Science*, 2010; 44:824-9.
9. Vocasek FF and Friedericks JB. Soil micronutrient extraction by mehlich-3 compared to  $\text{CaCl}_2$ -DTPA. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 1994; 25(9-10):1583-1593.