

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_104773
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Genetic Variability, Correlation and Path Analysis among Rice (Oryza sativa L.) Landraces Genotypes for Grain Yield Characters under Irrigated Conditions
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	I found it relevant to the scientific community Yes, it is suitable The abstract sufficiently summarized the content of the study It is appropriate It is correct The author should follow the journal's guidelines for reference style. It is inconsistent. Most of the references listed is not cited and those cited were not listed.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is okay	
Optional/General comments	The author should discuss his result very well with proper link with published works. The author must do a due diligence to ensure that all references are properly done.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dorcas Olubunmi Ibitoye
Department, University & Country	National Horticultural Research Institute, Nigeria