

1 Performance Assessment of Scented Field 2 Roses in Open Field Cultivation: A Case Study 3 in Coimbatore

4
5
6
7

8 9 ABSTRACT

10 A field experiment to evaluate the performance of ten scented field roses in terms of growth and floral parameters under open field condition was carried out at Botanical Garden, Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University Coimbatore during the year 2022-2023. Since there is a major lacuna in variety of scented field roses for cultivation in farmer's field, the present study was undertaken to assess suitable variety for commercial cultivation under Coimbatore condition. The field experiment was carried out using Randomized Block Design (RBD) with ten treatments (Arka Sukanya, Arka Parimala, Bourbon rose type 1, Bourbon Rose type 2, Pusa Alpana, Rose Sherbet, Scent Pink, Damask rose type 1, Damask rose type 2, Andhra Red) and three replications. Results indicate a significance difference between the varieties for growth, flowering and yield characters. Experimental data revealed that the maximum plant height (68.92 cm), maximum number of shoots per plant (8.21), maximum leaves per plant (410.50), stem girth (6.28 cm), Highest chlorophyll content (58.1), Least number days for flower bud initiation (31.05), Diameter of fully opened flower (5.89 cm) and fresh flower weight (3.59 g) was seen in Bourbon rose type 1. Bourbon rose type 2 recorded maximum number of flowers per plant (41.12) and Andhra red rose recorded maximum number of petals per flower (94.89) among the evaluated varieties.

11
12 *Keywords: Scented field roses; Evaluation; vegetative parameter; floral parameters.*

13 14 1. INTRODUCTION

15
16 Rose is one of the oldest flowers under cultivation and most popular of all garden flowers throughout the world and it is
17 universally known as "Queen of Flowers". Rose occupies first position in international flower trade Gajraj *et al.*, (2022) [1].
18 The word Rose is derived from the word Eros which comes from Greek god for love. It represents love, companionship,
19 sincerity, romance, grace and spirituality (Hummer and Jenick, 2009) [2]. The genus *Rosa* has been derived from Greek
20 word Rhedon which denotes its fragrant flowers. It constitutes 120 species and more than 30,000 cultivars that are widely
21 distributed in the temperate and subtropical parts of the northern and southern hemispheres. Only few species of *Rosa* sp
22 are scented viz., *Rosa damascena.*, *R. gallica*, *R.centifolia*, *R. moschata*, *R. bourboniana*, *R. chinensis* etc. The fragrance
23 can range from subtle and sweet to rich and intense depending on the varietal character of the flower. Apart from being
24 aesthetically pleasing, roses have various medicinal properties. Rose essential oil, which is derived from scented roses is
25 frequently used in aromatherapy which is believed to promote relaxation, reduce stress, and uplift moods. In traditional
26 market places, rose flowers without the stalk and loose flower petals are used for making garlands and to make offerings
27 in temples. As commercial cultivation of roses is gaining importance day by day, there is need for identification of high-
28 yielding scented rose cultivar and the information regarding the evaluation of rose genotypes under open field condition in
29 various agro-climatic conditions is inadequate. Therefore, the present study was undertaken to evaluate the scented field
30 rose varieties in open field conditions under Coimbatore conditions to assist the farmers in increasing the productivity and
31 consumers in receiving higher quality flowers.
32
33

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

An experiment entitled "Performance Assessment of Scented Field Roses in Open Field Cultivation: A Case Study in Coimbatore" was carried out at Botanical Garden, Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, Horticultural College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India during the year 2022-2023. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications and five plants per replication. The varieties that were subjected to evaluation are V₁ -Arka Sukanya, V₂ - Arka Parimala, V₃ - Bourbon rose type 1, V₄ - Bourbon Rose type 2, V₅ - Pusa Alpana, V₆ - Rose Sherbet, V₇ - Scent Pink, V₈ - Damask rose type 1, V₉ - Damask rose type 2, V₁₀ - Andhra Red were planted at spacing of 1m x 1m. Five plants from each replication were selected and used for recording observations. Observations in respect of vegetative growth (Table.1) viz., plant height (cm), Number of shoots per plant, Number of leaves per plant, Stem girth (cm), Chlorophyll content (SPAD values) were recorded at 120 days after pruning and flowering parameters (Table.2) viz., Days to flower bud initiation (days), Diameter of fully opened flower (cm), No of petals per flower, Number of flowers per plant and weight of fresh flower (g) were also recorded. Collected data was subjected to statistical analysis by using AGRES software and Microsoft spreadsheet.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Vegetative parameters

Plant height varied significantly among the varieties at 120 days after pruning (Table 1). The maximum plant height was observed in the variety Bourbon rose type 1 (68.92 cm) closely followed by Bourbon rose type 2 (67.40 cm). Minimum plant height was recorded in the variety Pusa Alpana (33.3 cm) (Table1). Differences in plant height is dependent on the varietal character of the plants and it varies from one variety to the other (Kanamadi and Patil, 1993 [3] and Behera *et al.* 2002[4]). More number of leaves may have contributed to the increased photosynthesis rate resulting in increased plant height. Comparable differences in plant height in rose were also recorded by Mohanty *et al.*, (2011) [5], Ramzan *et al.*, (2014) [6], Amita *et al.*, (2021) [7], Muthulakshmi *et al.*, (2022) [8].

Number of shoots per plant was highest in var Bourbon rose type 1 (8.21) and was significantly superior compared to other varieties. Minimum number of shoots per plant was observed in Pusa Alpana (3.20) (Table 1). Due to the influence of genetic makeup of the different varieties, variation in shoots may be observed. Similar observations were recorded in rose by Tabassum *et al.*, (2002) [9], Ramzan *et al.*, (2014) [6], Muthulakshmi *et al.*, (2022) [8].

Among the evaluated scented field roses, highest number of leaves per plant was observed in the var Bourbon rose type 1 (410.50) followed by Bourbon rose type 2 (326.48) and Andhra red (279.20), whereas minimum number of leaves per plant was observed in the var Pusa Alpana (60.08) (Table 1). This might be due to the inherent genetic factors, higher sprouting of auxiliary buds, endogenous production of cytokinin, auxin and gibberellins. The current findings are consistent with that of Wasnik *et al.*, (2015) [10] Ashwini *et al.*, (2021) [11], Abd-Elrahim and Osman (2017) [12] Muthulakshmi *et al.*, (2022) [8].

Maximum stem girth was recorded in the variety Bourbon rose type 1 (6.28), followed closely by Bourbon rose type 2 (5.99) and Andhra Red (5.82). Minimum stem girth was recorded in the variety Arka Sukanya (1.91) (Table 1). Variations in stem girth is often due to varietal character of the plant. The results are in close agreement with the findings of Soujanya *et al.*, (2018) [13], Muthulakshmi *et al.*, (2022) [8].

The maximum chlorophyll content (SPAD value) was recorded in the variety Bourbon rose type 1 (58.10) followed by Bourbon rose type 2 (55.40) and scent pink (54.29). However minimum value was recorded in variety Pusa Alpana (37.56) (Table 1, Fig.1). Leaves are the functioning units for photosynthesis particularly the chlorophyll content of leaf influences the growth of the plant. The leaf chlorophyll content is a genetic character that differs according to varieties. Variation in chlorophyll content was also observed previously in orchids by Anita *et al.* (2000) [14] and Prabhu *et al.*, (2018) [15] in chrysanthemum and in rose by Soujanya *et al.*, (2018) [13].

3.2 Floral parameters

Earliest bud initiation was seen in the variety Bourbon Rose type 1 (31.05) followed by Andhra red (32.15) and Scent Pink (32.98) whereas Pusa Alpana took the longest time for bud initiation (49.70) (Table 2). If a plant has adequate carbohydrates in it since its vegetative growth, it has a tendency to enter the reproductive phase early. Initiation of flower buds is also influenced by environmental conditions. These observations are in agreement with Kute *et al.*, (2022) [16], Patil *et al.*, (2022) [17] and Meshram *et al.*, (2022) [18].

Among the different varieties assessed, maximum diameter of fully opened flower was recorded in the variety Bourbon rose type 1 (5.89) followed by Bourbon rose type 2 (5.69) and minimum diameter of fully opened flower was recorded in

the variety Pusa Alpana (3.92) (Table 2). The variation can be attributed to genetic makeup of the variety and impact of biotic and abiotic factors as well. These outcomes are in accordance with Wasnik *et al.*, (2015) [10], Ashwini *et al.*, (2021) [11] and Muthulakshmi *et al.*, (2022) [8].

Number of flowers per plant was measured in the collected varieties and the highest number of flowers was observed in Bourbon rose type (41.12) by Bourbon rose type 1 (39.84) whereas least number of flowers per plant was observed in Pusa Alpana (12.38) (Table 2, Fig. 2). Maximum number of flowers per plant might be attributed to more number of leaves per plant and chlorophyll content which would result in production and accumulation of more photosynthesis resulting in

Varieties	Plant height (cm)	Number of shoots per plant	Number of leaves per plant	Stem girth (cm)	Chlorophyll content (SPAD values)
-----------	-------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	-----------------	-----------------------------------

the production of more number of flowers with bigger size. The observed results are in agreement with previous reports of Mohanty *et al.*, (2011) [19], Singh *et al.*, (2013) [20], Ramzan *et al.*, (2014) [6], Wasnik *et al.*, (2015) [10], Soujanya *et al.*, (2018) [13] and Amita *et al.*, (2021) [7], Muthulakshmi *et al.*, (2022) [8].

Significantly maximum weight of fresh flower was recorded in the variety Bourbon rose type 1 (3.59) followed by Bourbon rose type 2 (3.41) and minimum weight of fresh flower was recorded in the variety Pusa Alpana (1.54) (Table 2). Variation in flower weight among the cultivars is a varietal character and depends upon the genetic makeup of the plant. These results are in favor with previous findings of Wasnik *et al.*, (2015) [10] and Amita *et al.*, (2021) [7].

More number of petals per flower was observed in the variety Andhra red (94.89) followed by Bourbon rose type 2 (89.03) and lowest number of petals per flower was observed in Arka Sukanya (28.5) (Table 2). The genetic makeup of the variety affects the diversity in the number of petals. Similar findings were also observed by Wasnik *et al.*, (2015) [10], Shahrin *et al.*, (2015) [21], Muthulakshmi *et al.*, (2022) [8] and Philip *et al.*, (2019) [22]

Table 1. Mean performance of the scented field roses for vegetative parameters

Arka Sukanya	51.46	4.45	115.7	4.11	43.71
Arka Parimala	54.29	4.89	141.81	5.67	46.80
Bourbon Rose type 1	68.92	8.21	410.5	6.28	58.10
Bourbon Rose type 2	67.40	7.42	326.48	5.99	55.40
Pusa Alpaha	33.30	3.20	60.08	1.91	37.56
Rose Sherbet	59.79	4.20	121.8	3.77	48.80
Scent Pink	63.40	5.93	249.06	2.86	54.29
Damask rose type 1	41.73	4.12	86.52	2.91	42.70
Damask rose type 2	49.94	4.40	101.2	3.94	45.60
Andhra Red	61.70	6.98	279.2	5.82	51.40
Mean	55.19	4.53	187.38	55.19	48.4360
S.Ed	0.98	1.26	4.72	0.98	0.83
CD (5%)	2.07	2.66	9.93	2.07	1.75

146
147
148
149
150
151




Fig.1. Chlorophyll content (SPAD values) of evaluated scented field roses

152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167

Table 2. Mean performance of the scented field roses for floral parameters

Varieties	Days to flower bud initiation (days)	Diameter of fully opened flower (cm)	No of flowers per plant	Fresh flower weight (g)	Number of petals per flower
Arka Sukanya	43.73	5.12	19.51	2.32	28.50

Arka Parimala	42.32	5.52	21.71	2.86	35.70
Bourbon Rose type 1	31.05	5.89	39.84	3.59	88.71
Bourbon Rose type 2	37.29	5.69	41.12	3.41	89.03
Pusa Alpana	49.70	3.92	12.38	1.54	31.42
Rose Sherbet	38.00	4.87	28.16	2.43	44.20
Scent Pink	32.98	5.17	34.32	3.29	47.21
Damask rose type 1	47.80	4.59	13.67	1.97	52.17
Damask rose type 2	45.50	4.69	15.42	2.08	55.14
Andhra Red	32.15	4.93	32.71	3.11	94.89
Mean	40.05	3.97	25.88	2.66	25.88
S.Ed	0.67	0.08	7.13	0.04	7.13
CD (5%)	1.41	0.18	14.98	0.10	14.98

168
169
170
171
172




Fig.2. Number of flowers per plant for evaluated scented field roses

173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196

4. CONCLUSION

From the present experimental findings, it was observed that the variety Bourbon rose type 1 has outperformed all the other varieties in terms of vegetative and floral parameters in agroclimatic conditions of Coimbatore. It recorded maximum plant height, number of shoots per plant, number of leaves per plant, stem girth, highest chlorophyll content, diameter of fully opened flower, least number days for flower bud initiation, maximum fresh flower weight and estimated flower yield per plant. On the other hand, the variety Bourbon rose type 2 recorded maximum number of flowers per plant and Andhra red rose was reported to have maximum number of petals per flower. Bourbon rose type 1 and Bourbon rose type 2 exhibit vigorous growth and more yield however they require more maintenance. The varieties Arka Sukanya and Arka Parimala are performing well and they can be introduced to farmers for commercial cultivation in Coimbatore. Even though Damask rose type 1 and Damask rose type 2 exhibit less vigorous growth, they can be planted in high density plating which accommodates more number of plants which subsequently leads to more yield. Therefore these evaluated scented field rose varieties are suitable for cultivation under Coimbatore agro climatic conditions and they can be recommended for commercial cultivation in farmer's field and may be further utilized in breeding programs for further selection.

197
198 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**
199

200 Author expresses the sincere gratitude to Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, Horticultural College
201 and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore for providing facility and encouragement to carry
202 out the research work and sincere thanks to GOI-NMPB, RCFC, KFRI Peechi, Kerala for providing financial support to
203 conduct the research.
204
205

206 **COMPETING INTERESTS**
207

208 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.
209

210 **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**
211

212 This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.
213

214 **REFERENCES**
215

- 216 1. Gajaraj, BK, Ahamed Z, and Naik V. Protected cultivation of Rose- A potential cut flower. 2022.
- 217 2. Hummer KE, Janick J. Rosaceae: taxonomy, economic importance, genomics. Genetics and genomics of
218 Rosaceae. 2009;1-7.
- 219 3. Kanamadi VC, Patil A. Performance of chrysanthemum varieties in the transitional tract of Karnataka. South
220 Indian Horticulture. 1993; 41(1):58-60.
- 221 4. Behera TK, Sirohi PS, Pal A. Assessment of chrysanthemum germplasm for commercial cultivation under Delhi
222 condition. Journal of Ornamental Horticulture (India). 2002.
- 223 5. Mohanty CR, Mohanty A, Das AB, Kar DS. Comparative performance of some rose varieties under open and
224 protected environment. Asian Journal of Horticulture. 2011;6(2):288-93.
- 225 6. Ramzan A, Hanif M, Tariq S. Performance of Rosa hybrida cultivars under agro climatic conditions of Islamabad,
226 Pakistan. J. Agric. Res. 2014;52(1):153-9.
- 227 7. Amita, Vijay Bahadur, Urfi Fatmi, Devi Singh. Varietal Evaluation of Different Floribunda Rose (Hybrid teas x
228 Polyanthas) under Prayagraj Agro-climatic Condition. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied
229 Sciences. 2021;10(1):3028-3035.
- 230 8. Muthulakshmi R, Visalakshi M, Aruna P, Manikanda Boopathi N. Evaluation of field rose varieties for growth and
231 yield parameters under open field condition. 2022.
- 232 9. Tabassum R, Ghaffoor A, Waseem K, Nadeem MA. Evaluation of rose cultivars as cut flower production. Asian
233 Journal of Plant Sciences. 2002.
- 234 10. Wasnik P, Raut VU, Bhande MH, Shambhavkar VB. Evaluation of scented rose varieties for yield and quality of
235 flowers. Plant Archives. 2015;15(2):895-7. Ashwini, S. G., Patil, B. R., & Bagewadi, B. (2021). Studies on
236 performance of rose genotypes for growth, yield and quality parameters under northern transitional zone of
237 Karnataka.
- 238 11. Ashwini SG, Patil BR, Bagewadi B. Studies on performance of rose genotypes for growth, yield and quality
239 parameters under northern transitional zone of Karnataka. 2021.
- 240 12. Abd-Elrahim GEE, Osman MS. Producing and evaluation of new hybrid of rose (Rosa spp.) in Central Sudan. Int.
241 J Sci. Res. Pub 2017;7(1):353-360
- 242 13. Soujanya P, Kulkarni BS, Kumar R, Munikrishnappa PM, Shivapriya M, Harshavardhan M. Evaluation of rose
243 (Rosa hybrida L.) varieties for growth, yield and quality under eastern dry zone of Karnataka. Journal of
244 Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(5):165-8.
- 245 14. Anita S, Priya L, Rajmohan K, Alex S. Comparison of chlorophyll content, water loss, and anatomical features of
246 leaves of the normal, in vitro cultured and, hardened Dendrobium hybrid plants. J. Orchid Soc. India. 2000;14(1-
247 2):41-6.
- 248 15. Prabhu G, Thamaraiselvi SP, Aruna P, Sudhakar R. Evaluation of chrysanthemum (Dendranthema grandiflora
249 Tzelev.) genotypes for loose flower production under Coimbatore conditions. International Journal of Chemical
250 Studies. 2018;6(4):1618-21.
- 251 16. Kute RS, Bhagat AA, Idate GM, Badgujar CD. Open field evaluation of rose cultivars under Pune, Maharashtra
252 conditions. 2022.
- 253 17. Patil SJ, Patel NL, Gaikwad SS, Bhalerao PP. Flower production of hybrid tea rose (Rosa hybrida L.)
254 cv.'GLADIATOR' under protected condition through different treatments during winter season. Asian Journal of
255 Horticulture. 2012;7(1):154-9.

256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266

18. Meshram PD, Salvi BR, Khandekar RG, Salvi VG, Pawar CD, Gokhale NB, Malshe KV. Effect of rose varieties under shade net and open condition in Konkan.2022 May 5.
19. Mohanty CR, Mohanty A, Das AB, Kar DS. Comparative performance of some rose varieties under open and protected environment. Asian Journal of Horticulture. 2011;6(2):288-93.
20. Singh P, Dubey RK, Singh R, Kumar R. Evaluation of floribunda rose (*Rosa hybrida* L.) cultivars for landscape use under Punjab condition. Journal of Horticultural Sciences. 2013 Dec 31;8(2):271-5.
21. Shahrin S, Roni MZ, Taufique T, Mehraj H, Jamal Uddin AF. Study on flowering characteristics and categorization of rose cultivars for color, fragrance and usage. Journal of Bioscience and Agriculture Research. 2015;4(01):20-30.
22. Philip P, Sankar M, Sreelatha U, Minimol JS, Anupama TV. Evaluation of cut rose varieties for commercial cultivation under humid tropics of Kerala. Journal of Tropical Agriculture. 2020 Feb 7;57(2).