

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ IJECC_104288
Title of the Manuscript:	The effect of plant growth regulators on growth, yield and quality of Cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Is the manuscript important for scientific community? It is very important to the scientific world as well as farming communities. Is the title of the article suitable? The title of the article is suitable Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? The abstract of the article is comprehensive. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? The manuscript is scientifically correct because of its nature and references cited and listed. The author (s) are requested to come up with more of their views other than depending on one reason throughout the manuscript to avoid making it look as if copying was prevalent.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	This is an important manuscript but the author(s) need to avoid use of same reason throughout.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Felix Mereka Chipojola
Department, University & Country	Malawi