

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_103986
Title of the Manuscript:	Design and Fabrication of Vapour Compression Refrigeration Cycle Based On Storage Type Domestic Water Heater
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes. It addressed a critical area affecting the environment and climate change issue Yes it suitable No. I have suggested a revision of the abstract on the manuscript The structure is okay but the subsections need to be updated as suggested in the manuscript Yes I have suggested an elaborate update of the references in terms their currency. Only 2 are more recent than 2013. The Conclusion should be recast Only one reference was cited in the work. This should be attended to.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality is poor and I have recommended that the authors seek the assistance of expert proof-readers.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alex O. Edeoja
Department, University & Country	Joseph Sarwuan Tarka University, Nigeria