

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_103256
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different host plants on biology of tobacco caterpillar, <i>Spodoptera litura</i> (Fabricius)
Type of the Article	Scientific article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1-Yes, research is of great importance in the scientific community to document these contributions to raise the level of knowledge of mitigation strategies for greenhouse gas emissions  2- the title of the article is a suitable.  3. the abstract of the article is comprehensive.  4-Yes, suitable  5-The manuscript is scientifically correct  6- The sources are modern and abundant, you do not need to add, you just need to arrange them according to the alphabet .	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, suitable	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	- Conclusion It is preferable to start with the main objective of the actual study and then explain the study design - It is also preferable to specify the period to initiate the search in any month of the year	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hawraa Yahya Mohammed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Al-Furat Al-Awsat Technical University, Iraq</b>