

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Research Journal of Mathematics</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ARJOM_104143</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Fuzzy Fixed Point Theorems in Normal Cone Metric Space</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No. Need to improve.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No. Need to improve.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Minor English editing is required.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. The abstract need to be improved.</p> <p>2. The introduction section needs to be improved.</p> <p>3. Proper citation should be given in the preliminary section.</p> <p>4. Some of the mathematical notations are not explained.</p> <p>5. The conclusion section should be included with the future scope of this paper.</p> <p>6. The literature survey should be improved by adding the relevant papers. For example add the following paper and cite them in the introduction section.</p> <p>(i) <a href="https://www.mdpi.com/2504-3110/7/7/537">https://www.mdpi.com/2504-3110/7/7/537</a></p> <p>(ii) <a href="https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/mma.9523">https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/mma.9523</a></p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>R. Udhayakumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Vellore Institute of Technology, India</b>