

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_104772
Title of the Manuscript:	Congenital cyst of pancreas in a newborn: A rare presentation
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, due to the rarity of the case.</p> <p>2. Yes.</p> <p>3. Yes.</p> <p>4. Yes. I have only a few suggestions:</p> <p>In the case description, the prenatal clinical history could have been mentioned. What is the reason for the mother to perform the ultrasound (the exam was part of the routine of prenatal consultations or the pregnant woman showed signs or symptoms). At how many weeks of pregnancy was the pancreatic cyst diagnosed? What was the conduct decided upon when the cyst was discovered?</p> <p>How was the type of delivery? What was the birth weight? And the other anthropometric data? What was the gestational age (in weeks) at birth? How was the newborn at the first physical examination? What was the abdominal circumference? Was the newborn discharged from the hospital before presenting the symptoms or was she still in the maternity ward? What was the conduct decided upon after birth in relation to the cyst?</p> <p>In the manuscript, the authors say:"Newborn showed no clinical symptoms till the age of day-8 of life after which she developed abdominal distension and infrequent episodes of non-bilious vomiting. A palpable mass in upper abdomen was found during a routine physical examination." Did the newborn have symptoms first OR was the mass palpated and then symptoms started OR was the mass palpated when the newborn had symptoms and was taken to the hospital?</p> <p>What is the prognosis after cyst resection? This should be mentioned.</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. Yes. Below are just 2 suggestions:</p> <p>Shahid M, Javed Z, Usman M, Iltaf S. True Congenital Pancreatic Cyst: A Rare Entity. Cureus. 2018 Sep 17;10(9):e3318. doi: 10.7759/cureus.3318. Gentimi FE, Papandreou E, Tzovaras AA, Antoniou D. Pancreatic cystic lesion in an infant. J Indian Assoc Pediatr Surg. 2011 Apr;16(2):72-4. doi: 10.4103/0971-9261.78137. PMID: 21731238; PMCID: PMC3119943.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, but needs minor formatting fixes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suzana Maria Ramos Costa
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Brazil