

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_104426
Title of the Manuscript:	Frequency Distribution of ABO and Rhesus Blood Group system and their association with the prevalence of various clinical disorders among the Kashmiri Donors: "A Possible Correlation or Just Random Distribution"
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes 2. Yes, but it may be shorter. 3. Yes 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Table 1 is not necessary. In addition you may combine table 2 and 3 in a single table. In figure 1 and 2, left columns, you have to write numbers with (%). Please place the tables 4 and 5 in "results" section, not in the "discussion" section.</p> <p>You have not given some important results (the prevalence of some diseases seen in student and their families) in "results" section. But this is not suitable for a scientific publication. Please give your results in "results section". You may also make a new table for the prevalence of diseases seen in your cohort. After then, you can discuss your results in the discussion.</p> <p>The study has not an ethical approval. It may be considered for publication after an institutional approval.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Burak Uz
Department, University & Country	100. Yil Hastanesi, Turkey