

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_103956
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Inquiry and Problem-Based Learning Models on Understanding of Mathematics Concepts Viewed From Student Learning Motivation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>).

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<b>Yes, the manuscript is relevant for the scientific community.</b>  <b>The title is well captured</b>  <b>The abstract is poorly written in terms of the grammar. Poorly constructed sentences.</b>  <b>No. The subsections are not well organised. For instance, under the introduction, there should be: Statement of the problem, Research Objectives and Research Questions/Hypothesis.</b>  <b>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct, but the language was poorly constructed. It must undergo academic proof reading to improve the grammar.</b>  <b>Yes, the references are enough and recent. They also followed the APA format.</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	No. The English quality of the article is quite poor. It must undergo proof reading	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The tables are not in the APA format but if the journal deems it fit the manuscript can be processed after the language corrections	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Christopher Saaha Borna</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>C. K. Tedam university of Technology and Applied Sciences, Ghana</b>