

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_104183
Title of the Manuscript:	A study on knowledge, constraints and suggestions of farmers regarding products and services of by (RBKs) in Vizianagaram district of Andhra Pradesh
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community, because the topic of the paper deals with the research of natural resources, but from the aspect of providing different services to farmers. The obtained research results can be used to improve the quality of these services.</p> <p>2. I propose using the heading "Examination of Rythu Bharosa Kendra's Services in Vizianagaram District of Andhra Pradesh with a View to Improving Service Delivery to Farmers" for the paper.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The reference needs to be improved. Since this is an academic article, I suggest inserting 5 to 6 recent references from the following authors: 1. Đurić, Z., &amp; Ilić, B. (2023). Systemic environmental protection and determinants of sustainable management. <i>Topola</i>, 211, 45-57. <a href="https://doi.org/10.5937/topola2211045D">https://doi.org/10.5937/topola2211045D</a> 2. Ilić, B., Đukić, G., &amp; Nikolić, M. (2022). Rural tourism of Eastern Serbia: Human resources management and motivation. <i>Agricultural Economics</i>, 69(1), 241-255. <a href="https://doi.org/10.5937/ekoPolj2201241I">https://doi.org/10.5937/ekoPolj2201241I</a></p>	4.
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	English language is correct and suitable for scholarly communications.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The reviewer suggests that the concept of sustainable development be included in the paper with a few sentences. The authors addressed the social component of sustainable development as they conducted a survey among farmers on the satisfaction and understanding of the services they provided by Rythu Bharosa Kendra.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Biljana Stojan Ilic
Department, University & Country	Educons University of Kamenitz, Serbia