

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JESBS_103078</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>I CANCERVIVE: EXPLORING THE MODERN TRAVAILS OF CANCER-STRICKEN ADOLESCENTS IN THE PANDEMIC NEW NORMAL</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community, as it addresses a topic of current relevance such as the covid-19 pandemic and how this situation affected the treatment and perception of adolescent leukemia patients.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, the title is appropriate and understandable.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, the abstract is comprehensive, adequate, and perfectly summarizes the work.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, the structure and subsections of the manuscript are adequate and meet the guidelines for publication.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, the manuscript presents the structure and is scientifically correct, in my opinion 4 is a very low number to represent a population, however it shows a trend, and since it is a case study, this number of respondents is acceptable. Also in this sense, the mothers of the patients also participated, so they should mention it in their methodological strategy.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, the references are sufficient and current.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is written in correct and understandable English for the school community.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Minimal revisions in the manuscript.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Enrique Gonzalez Rivas</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad Nacional Autonoma De Mexico, Mexico</b>