Original Research Article

Optimizing establishment methods and weed management practices on growth, yield and economics of maize under irrigated condition

ABSTRACT

To study the performance of different crop establishment techniques and weed management practices on growth, yield attributes, yield and economics of maize hybrid, a field experiment was conducted during *Summer* 2022 season.The treatment comprised of different sowing methods *viz*., pneumatic precision planter, manual rotary dibbler and manual dibbling and these treatments were compared with varied weed management practices such as pre emergence (PE) application with atrazine 50 % WP @ 0.75 kg ha^{-1} at 3 DAS+ power weeding at 20 &and40 DAS, pre emergence (PE) application with atrazine 50 % WP @ 0.75 $kgha^{-1}$ at 3 DAS + hand weeding at 20 &and40 DAS and pre emergence (PE) application with atrazine 50 % WP @ 0.75 $kgha^{-1}$ at 3 DAS + early post emergence (EPoE) application with topramezone 33.6 % SC@25.2 gha^{-1} at 20 DAS. The results revealed that manual dibbling under conventional levelled ridges and furrows and pre-emergence application of atrazine 50 % WP @ 0.75 kg ha^{-1} at 3 DAS through drone followed by power weeding at 20 &and40 DASrecorded the highest vigour index (2172 and 1952), root length (1.2 cm), volume (1.32 cc) and biomass (33.1 g).Early field emergence was noticed with manual dibbling under ridges and furrows (4.5 days) which wasfollowed by pneumatic precision planter (5.0 days). However, higher LAI was recorded under manual rotary dibbler (4.95) followed by pneumatic precision planter (4.52). Pre emergence application of atrazine 50 % WP @ 0.75 $kgha^{-1}$ at 3 DAS + hand weeding at 20 &and40 DAShad significantly less weed density and dry weight and the next best treatment was pre emergence application with atrazine 50 % WP @ 0.75 kg ha^{-1} at 3 DAS+ Power ~~Weeding~~ weeding at 20 &and40 DAS. Significantly higher grain (7.33 t ha^{-1}) and stover yield(10.89 t ha^{-1}) was obtained under manual rotary dibbler treatment which was at par with pneumatic precision planter (7.10 t $ha^{-1}$and 10.09t ha^{-1}, respectively). Highest net returns of Rs.126790 ha^{-1} and BCR (3.62) were observed with manual rotary dibbler followed by atrazine 50 % WP @ 0.75 kg ha^{-1} at 3 DAS+ power weeding at 20 &and40 DAS.

Key words: *Vigour, crop eEstablishment, Mechanization, Yield and Economics.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays L.*) is one of the most versatile and multifaceted cropwhich has wider adaptability under varied agro-climatic conditions. Globally, maize is known as the queen of cereals owing to its highest genetic yield potential. Currently, 1147.7 million tonnes of maize produced across 170 countries in an area of 193.7 million ha with an average productivity of 5.75 tha^{-1}[1]. In India, maize is cultivated in an area of 9.9 million ha with a production of 31.5 million tonnes [2].In Tamil Nadu, maize is grown in an area of 4.0 lakh ha with a production of 25.6lakh tonnes during 2020-21 with an average productivity of ~~6409 kg~~6.41 tonnesha^{-1}. Maize is the most resource-use efficient, high yielding crop and is extensively used in livestock sector thus, it could be best integrated with livestock and poultry components ensuring doubling the farmer's income under integrated farming system. Therefore, demand of maize is rising every year in Tamil Nadu and in future also its requirement would be higher due to progressive growth in poultry sector. Consequences of this, area under maize cultivation in Tamil Nadu is increasing exponentially both in rainfed and irrigated situations.

Farm mechanization and crop productivity have positive correlation, as farm mechanization saves time, labour, reduces drudgery and cut down production cost in the long run, which reduces post-harvest losses, boosts crop output and farm income. According to World Bank, half of the Indian population would live in urban areas by the year 2050 and therefore, it is estimated that percentage of agricultural workers in total work force would drop from 58.2% in 2001 to 25.7% by 2050. This shows the need to enhance the level of farm mechanization in the country.

Mehta *et al*.~~,~~[3] reported that high adoption level of farm mechanization in different field operations in maize cultivation in the order of seed bed preparation (60%), planting (40%) weeding, plant protection (30%), harvesting and threshing (30%). Maize seeds are relatively bolder in size and normally sown at wider spacing which facilitated easy weeding and inter cultural operations through implements. Moreover, maize cobs are placed well above the ground is amenable to harvesting mechanically [4].Spraying plant protection chemicals through manual sprayer is laborious, time consuming and cumbersome. Now a days, spraying costs towards application of herbicides, insecticides and fungicides ~~is~~ are~~always~~ higher than the chemical cost owing to escalation of labour wages. Moreover, non-availability and shortage of labours during

Comment [M1]: Literatures are lacking on crop establishment and weed control i.e. why on crop establishment and weed control are important?

Comment [M2]: Use thousand/ million instead of lakh.

Comment [M3]: Please give reference i.e. [x]

pest period further worsen the problem. Under these circumstances, drone would be the ~~best~~ one of theviable proposition against labour drudgery.Considering all these facts, field trial was carried out to develop technology capsule for mechanization in maize for crop establishment and weed control for enhancement~~d~~ of productivity, profitability and drudgery reduction.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiment was conducted during ~~Summer~~summer2022 season at ~~Field No. 37, Eastern Block,~~ Central Farm Unit, Department of Agronomy, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India to find out suitablecrop establishment techniques and weed management method in maize for higher productivity and profitability. The Experiment consisted of the following treatments*viz*., M_1 - sowing by pneumatic precision planter under laser levelled plot, M_2 - sowing by manual rotary dibbler under laser levelled plot, M_3 – manual dibbling under conventional levelledridges and furrows as main plot treatments and S_1 –pre emergence (PE) application of atrazine 50 % WP @ 0.75 kg ha^{-1} at 3 DAS followed by power weeding at 20 & 40 DAS, S_2 - pre emergence (PE) with atrazine 50 % WP @ 0.75 kg ha^{-1} at 3 DAS followed manual weeding at 20 ~~&~~and40 DAS and S_3 –pre emergence (PE) with atrazine 50 % WP @ 0.75 kg ha^{-1} at 3 DAS and early post emergence (EPoE) application with topramezone 33.6 % SC @ 25.2 gha^{-1}at 20 DAS as sub plot treatments. The trial was conducted in split plot design with three replications. The soils of experimental field represent the clay loam type. The available nutrient status of the field was lowin N (246 kg ha^{-1}), medium in phosphorus (19 kg ha^{-1}) and high in potash (353 kg ha^{-1})and determined in laboratory[5,6,7]. The seeds of short duration maize hybrid CO (H) M 8 were utilized for this study.Tractor drawn pneumatic precision planter and manual operated rotary dibbler were used for sowing operation under laser levelled plots and these sowing methods were compared with manual dibbling in ridges and furrows method of land configuration under conventionally levelled plot. Pre emergence herbicide (atrazine) was applied on 3 DAS while early post emergence herbicide (topramezone) was sprayed on 20 DAS and these operations were carryout by drone.Required plant population was maintained by thinning and gap filling at 10 days after sowing (DAS). Recommended doses of organic manure such as FYM @ 12.5.0 t ha^{-1} including inorganic fertilizers (250:75:75 kg NPK ha^{-1}) were applied along the planting rows as urea and single super phosphate and mur~~i~~ate of potash and covered with top soil. Nitrogen was applied in three splits *viz*., 25 % N as basal and 50 % N at 25 DAS and remaining 25 % of N at 45 DAS, whereas, entire dose of phosphorus and mur~~i~~ate of

Comment [M4]: Why pest period?

Comment [M5]: As it was irrigated experiment, but no information of irrigation is found i.e which method, what amount and how many times of irrigation were applied.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Comment [M6]: Seed rate of different planting method may be added.

Comment [M7]: Brand, model and make and liquid holding capacity of drone may be added.

potash were applied as basal. All other plant protection measures were adopted as prophylactic measuresto check the incidence of major pests. Root length, wasrecorded with a scale at 25, 45 and 65 DAS and expressed in cm. Similarly, ~~Root~~ root volume was measured with a measuring cylinder at 25, 45 and 65 DAS bywater displacement method and expressed in cc. Likewise, rootsamples taken at 25, 45 and 65 DASwereovendriedforthreedaysat60-70°Ctogetaconstantweightand expressed in g plant^{-1}. After measuring the root and shoot length of maize seedlings on 8 DAS, seedling vigour index was determined by adding mean of root and shoot length and then multiplied with germination per cent [8]. LAI was calculated at vegetative, tasseling and grain filling stage by multiplying length ~~&~~and width of index leaf(third fullyopenedleaf from the top) and No. of leaves plant^{-1}with corrector factor (0.796) and the cumulative values were divided with plant spacing [9]. Predominant weeds species and weed dry weight of dominant weeds were counted and recorded at 25 and 45 DAS in both crop establishment and weed management plots.Number of grain rows cob^{-1}, grains row^{-1}and shelling per cent wererecorded from randomly selected five cobs obtained from the tagged plants in net plot area. Cobsfromthenetplotwasharvestedseparately,sun dried,shelledandcleaned.Thegrainswerefurthersundriedtobringthemoisturecontentto12percentthen weighedandexpressed in t ha^{-1}. Afterthecobswereharvested, stover weight from each plot was weighedseparately afterdryingand expressed int ha^{-1} and finally statistical analysis was done [10].

Comment [M8]: What were the major weed species
?

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Comment [M9]: Please refer tables with the results throughout the chapter.

Manual dibbling under conventional levelled ridges and furrows method had recorded highest vigour index of 2172 which was followed by sowing with manual rotary dibbler under laser levelled plot (1822). The lowest vigour index of 1540 was observed with pneumatic precision planter under laser levelled plot.Ridges and furrow method of land configuration favoured deep penetration of radicle and early emergence of plume which reflected on higher vigour index envisaged in the experimental study. Weed management practices significantly altered vigour index at 8 DAS and the highest vigour index of 1952 was registered in pre-emergence application of atrazine 50 % WP @ 0.75 kg ha^{-1} at 3 DAS followed by power weeding at 20 & 40 DAS. The results on field establishment recorded at 6 DAS indicated that early field emergence was noticed with manual dibbling sowing under ridges and furrows method of land configuration (4.5 days) which was followed by pneumatic precision planter (5.0

days). Sowing with manual rotary dibbler took 5.4 days to emerge plume which might be due to deeper placement of seeds. However, field establishment rate was not significantly influenced by weed management practices.

Root length was significantly altered by sowing methods at all the stages of observation and manual dibbling under conventionally levelled ridges and furrows recorded highest root length. However, weed management practices did not differ significantly for root parameter. Similar results were obtained by Khan *et* al.,[11] the ridges offer loose fertile soil that is more aerated and mechanically compacted less, allowing the roots to develop extensively.

Crop establishment methods greatlyinfluenced root volume at all the stages of observation and significantly higher root volume (24.5, 44.6 and 58.9 cc at 25, 45 &and65 DAS, respectively) was recorded in manual dibbling under ridges and furrows which was followed by pneumatic precision planter at 45 &and65 DAS. Similar results were found by Khan *et al.*,[11] in maize crop under ridge method of planting. Similar to root length and volume, manual sowing under ridges and furrows had exhibited higher root biomass at 45 and 65 DAS. In contrast to this, significantly higher plant height at vegetative, tasseling and grain filling stage was registered in sowing with pneumatic precision planter which was at par with manual rotary dibbler. However, weed management practices did not significantly alter plant height at all the stages of crop growth. These results tend to support the results of Rajaiah*et al.*, [12]. Significantly higher leaf area index (LAI) was observed with manual rotary dibbler at vegetative and tasseling stage and LAI was not significantly influenced by weed management practices at tasseling and grain filling stage, which coincides with the findings of Raihan *et al.*, [13]thatnon-significant differences in LAI was found and maximum LAI was recorded in ridge planting method followed by line sowing and broadcast planting methods. Pre emergence application with atrazine 50 % WP @ 0.75 kgha^{-1} at 3 DAS + hand weeding at 20 & 40 DAS controlled the weeds effectively and recorded less weed density and dry weightand created weed free environment at critical stages of crop growth. The next best treatment was pre emergence application with atrazine 50 % WP @ 0.75 kg ha^{-1} at 3 DAS+ power weeding at 20 & 40 DAS.Similar results are in accordance with the findings ofAbdullah *et al.*, [14].As compared to hand weeding and power weeder weeding, highest weed density and dry weight at 25 &and45 DAS was noticed with pre emergence application of atrazine 50 % WP @ 0.75 kgha^{-1} at 3 DAS

+ early post emergence (EPoE) application with topramezone 33.6 % SC@25.2 gha^{-1} at 20 DAS through drone.

No significant difference among establishment methods and weed management was observed on number of grain rows cob^{-1}. Nevertheless, higher yield attributing characters such as number of grains row^{-1}and shelling per cent were recorded with manual rotary dibbler which was observed to be on par with pneumatic precision planter. The lowest values of these parameters were noticed under manual dibbling. The influence of weed management practices on yield attributing characters were also significant and highest values were noticed with pre emergence application with atrazine 50 % WP @ 0.75 kgha^{-1} at 3 DAS + hand weeding at 20 ~~&~~and40 DAS. Significantly higher grain of 7.33 t ha^{-1} and stover yield 10.89 of t ha^{-1} was obtained under manual rotary dibbler treatment which was at par with crop establishment by pneumatic precision planter (7.10 t ha^{-1}and 10.09 t ha^{-1}, respectively). The lowest grain and stover yield were registered in manual dibbling method of sowing. These results are in line with the finding of Rajaiah*et al.*~~,~~ [12] due toprecise seed placement, better crop establishment and efficient utilization of resources at all stages of crop growth.

Higher cost of cultivation (Rs. 69070 ha^{-1}) and labour utilization of 99 man days ha^{-1}were incurred in manual sowing with pre emergence with atrazine 50 % WP @ 0.75 kgha^{-1} at 3 DAS + hand weeding at 20 & 40 DASwhich was followed by manual rotary dibbler and pre emergence with atrazine 50 % WP @ 0.75 kgha^{-1} at 3 DAS + hand weeding at 20 ~~&~~and40 DAS. Similar finding of Manjulatha*et al.*~~,~~ [15] which revealed that cost of production under conventional method is higher than mechanized plot which might be due to more farm labour utilization.

Though the treatment consisted of pre-emergence with atrazine 50 % WP @ 0.75 kgha^{-1} at 3 DAS + hand weeding at 20 ~~&~~and40 DAS had recorded significantly higher grain yield of 7.4 t ha^{-1} and gross returns of Rs. 177300 ha^{-1} over other treatments, it failed to realize higher net returns and benefit cost ratio owing to higher cost of cultivation (Rs.66350 ha^{-1}) and more labour utilization (76 man days ha^{-1}). This is supported by Chinnappa *et al.*~~,~~[16]stated that mechanization results in cost saving and increased profit due to intensive mechanized farms compared to lowmechanized farmswhich could reduce dependence on human labour.Highest net returns of Rs.126790 ha^{-1} and B:C ratio (3.62) were observed with manual rotary dibbler followed by pre-emergence with atrazine 50 % WP @ 0.75 kg ha^{-1} at 3 DAS+ power weeding at 20 & 40 DAS. The next best treatment was manual rotary dibbler with pre-emergence with

Comment [M10]: There should be a summary Table showing costs of items, i.e. what was the cost of equipment, drone, seeds, fetilizer, irrigation, labour, etc.

atrazine 50 % WP @ 0.75 $kgha^{-1}$ at 3 DAS + early post emergence (EPoE) application with Topramezone 33.6 % SC@25.2 gha^{-1} at 20 DAS.Similar results by Manjulatha*et al.*, [15] revealed that the variable costs are high in conventional method than mechanization due to higher labour requirement and lack of timely operations, better tillage practices, even depth of sowing.

Table 1. Effect of mechanization practices on growth characters of hybrid maize CO (H) M 8 during *Summer* 2023 season

Treatments	Vigour Index	Field establishment (days)	Root length (cm)			Root volume (cc)		
			25 DAS	45 DAS	65 DAS	25 DAS	45 DAS	65 DAS
Main Plot (Sowing methods)								
M_1 - Pneumatic Precision Planter *	1540	5.0	20.4	27.2	34.7	20.4	36.4	55.0
M_2 - Manual rotary dibbler *	1822	5.4	23.9	26.1	29.8	23.9	30.6	48.3
M_3 - Manual Dibbling **	2172	4.5	24.5	28.0	34.8	24.5	44.6	58.9
SEd	58.2	0.29	0.67	0.53	1.2	0.67	1.75	1.32
CD (p=0.05)	162	0.81	1.85	1.48	3.2	1.88	4.86	3.67
Subplot (Weed management)								
S_1 - PE with Atrazine 50 % WP @ 0.75 kg ha^{-1} at 3 DAS+ Power Weeding at 20 & 40 DAS•	1952	4.9	23.3	27.3	33.4	9.56	37.8	57.2
S_2 - PE with Atrazine 50 % WP @ 0.75 $kgha^{-1}$ at 3 DAS + Hand weeding at 20 & 40 DAS•	1795	5.1	22.2	27.1	33.1	8.83	36.5	53.3
S_3 - PE with Atrazine 50 % WP @ 0.75 $kgha^{-1}$ at 3 DAS + EPoE with Topramezone 33.6 % SC@25.2 gha^{-1} at 20 DAS•	1787	5.0	23.3	27.0	32.8	9.39	38.8	51.7
SEd	106	0.17	0.80	0.95	1.3	0.36	1.06	3.71
CD (p=0.05)	233	0.37	1.73	2.07	2.8	0.79	2.31	8.09
Interaction								
MxS								
S Ed	162	-	1.31	-	-	0.85	2.31	-
CD (p=0.05)	365	NS	3.05	NS	NS	2.18	5.82	NS

*M_1 and M_2 – Sowing under laser levelled flatbed followed by earthing up using intercultural implement

**M_3 – Sowing under conventional levelled ridges and furrows

•S_1, S_2, S_3-Spraying of PE and EPoE herbicides will be done through drone

Comment [M11]: Titles of Tables 1, 2, 3 & 4 are the same, must be changed according to the contents.

Comment [M12]: In Abstract and Methodology, 2022 but in tables 2023, make sure which is correct.

Table 2. Effect of mechanization practices on growth characters of hybrid maize CO (H) M 8 during *Summer* 2023 season

Treatments	Root Biomass (g)			Plant Height (cm)			Leaf Area Index		
	25 DAS	45 DAS	65 DAS	Vegetative	Tasseling	Grain Filling	Vegetative	Tasseling	Grain Filling
Main Plot (Sowing methods)									
M_1 - Pneumatic Precision Planter *	1.1	20.5	33.0	68.1	177.9	226.8	0.86	2.27	4.52
M_2 - Manual rotary dibbler *	1.5	22.4	30.4	70.7	182.0	222.1	1.13	2.51	4.95
M_3 - Manual Dibbling **	1.0	22.5	33.1	60.4	170.9	207.9	0.72	2.00	4.47
SEd	0.02	0.39	0.61	1.70	1.84	3.3	0.03	0.06	0.13
CD (p=0.05)	0.07	1.07	1.69	4.74	5.11	9.1	0.07	0.18	0.37
Subplot (Weed management)									
S_1 - PE with Atrazine 50 % WP @ 0.75 kg ha^{-1} at 3 DAS+ Power Weeding at 20 & 40 DAS•	1.1	21.9	32.1	69.03	177.3	217.3	0.94	2.34	4.73
S_2 - PE with Atrazine 50 % WP @ 0.75 kgha^{-1} at 3 DAS + Hand weeding at 20 & 40 DAS•	1.4	22.3	33.2	67.06	176.8	220.7	0.97	2.30	4.45
S_3 - PE with Atrazine 50 % WP @ 0.75 kgha^{-1} at 3 DAS + EPoE with Topramezone 33.6 % SC@25.2 gha^{-1} at 20 DAS•	1.0	21.3	31.2	63.12	176.8	218.8	0.80	2.13	4.77
SEd	0.04	0.82	1.12	3.50	1.56	5.3	0.04	0.11	0.26
CD (p=0.05)	0.09	1.79	2.45	7.53	3.39	11.6	0.09	0.25	0.57
Interaction									
MxS									
S Ed	0.07	1.22	1.70	-	-	-	0.07	-	-
CD (p=0.05)	0.14	2.74	3.84	NS	NS	NS	0.15	NS	NS

*M_1 and M_2 – Sowing under laser levelled flatbed followed by earthing up using intercultural implement
**M_3 – Sowing under conventional levelled ridges and furrows
•S_1, S_2, S_3-Spraying of PE and EPoE herbicides will be done through drone

Formatted: Highlight

Table 3. Effect of mechanization practices on weed parameters of hybrid maize (CO (H) M8 during *Summer* 2023 season

Formatted: Highlight

Treatments	Weed density (No. m^{-2})		Weed dry weight (g)	
	25 DAS	45 DAS	25 DAS	45 DAS
Main Plot (Sowing methods)				
M_1- Pneumatic Precision Planter *	2.55 (7.67)	3.01 (10.78)	1.50 (1.99)	2.24 (4.38)
M_2- Manual rotary dibbler *	2.63 (8.11)	3.07 (11.44)	1.61 (2.60)	2.68 (8.46)
M_3 - Manual Dibbling **	2.63 (7.67)	3.04 (10.89)	1.36 (1.46)	2.04 (5.36)
SEd	0.15	0.16	0.04	0.10
CD (p=0.05)	0.42	0.45	0.11	0.24
Subplot (Weed management)				
S_1 - PE with Atrazine 50 % WP@ 0.75 kg ha^{-1} at 3DAS+ Power Weeding at 20 & 40 DAS•	2.85 (7.78)	3.29 (10.44)	1.61 (2.10)	2.82 (7.58)
S_2 - PE with Atrazine 50 % WP@ 0.75 $kgha^{-1}$ at 3 DAS + Hand weeding at 20 & 40 DAS•	1.03 (0.67)	1.11 (0.78)	0.85 (0.25)	0.89 (0.30)
S_3 - PE with Atrazine 50 % WP@ 0.75 $kgha^{-1}$ at 3 DAS +EPoE with Topramezone 33.6 % SC@25.2 gha^{-1}at 20 DAS•	3.92 (15.00)	4.72 (21.89)	2.01 (3.71)	3.25 (10.32)
SEd	0.18	0.16	0.06	0.08
CD (p=0.05)	0.40	0.34	0.14	0.18
Interaction				
MxS				
SEd	-	-	0.11	0.14
CD (p=0.05)	NS	NS	0.24	0.31

*M_1 and M_2 – Sowing under laser levelled flatbed followed by earthing up using intercultural implement
**M_3 – Sowing under conventional levelled ridges and furrows
•S_1,S_2, S_3-Spraying of PE and EPoE herbicides will be done through drone.

Table 4. Effect of mechanization practices on yield characters of hybrid maize CO (H) M 8 during *Summer* 2023 season

Formatted: Highlight

Treatments	Number of grain rows cob^{-1}	Number of grains row^{-1}	Shelling %	Grain yield (t ha^{-1})	Stover yield (t ha^{-1})
Main Plot (Sowing methods)					
M_1 - Pneumatic Precision Planter *	14.78	36.00	76.34	7.10	10.09
M_2 - Manual rotary dibbler *	14.44	34.33	77.50	7.33	10.89
M_3 - Manual Dibbling **	15.00	31.22	73.69	6.40	9.31
SEd	0.24	0.62	1.14	0.13	0.19
CD (p=0.05)	0.67	1.72	3.16	0.37	0.54
Subplot (Weed management)					
S_1 - PE with Atrazine 50 % WP @ 0.75 kg ha^{-1} at 3 DAS+ Power Weeding at 20 & 40 DAS$^{\bullet}$	14.78	34.33	76.20	6.93	10.06
S_2 - PE with Atrazine 50 % WP @ 0.75 kgha^{-1} at 3 DAS + Hand weeding at 20 & 40 DAS$^{\bullet}$	14.89	34.00	76.11	7.03	10.21
S_3 - PE with Atrazine 50 % WP @ 0.75 kgha^{-1} at 3 DAS + EPoE with Topramezone 33.6 % SC@25.2 gha^{-1} at 20 DAS$^{\bullet}$	14.56	33.00	75.23	6.87	10.02
S Ed	0.36	0.55	1.63	0.24	0.35
CD (p=0.05)	0.78	1.19	3.56	0.53	0.77

*M_1 and M_2 – Sowing under laser levelled flatbed followed by earthing up using intercultural implement
**M_3 – Sowing under conventional levelled ridges and furrows
$^{\bullet}$$S_1$, S_2, S_3-Spraying of PE and EPoE herbicides will be done through drone

Table 5. Influence of different ~~mechanized~~ cultivation practices on economics of maize during *Summer* 2023 season.

Comment [M13]: Manual method also included.

Treatments	No. of man days ha^{-1}	Cost of Cultivation (Rs ha^{-1})	Gross returns (Rs ha^{-1})	Net returns (Rs ha^{-1})	Benefit Cost Ratio
M_1S_1	16	47115	169200	122085	3.59
M_1S_2	75	65115	172800	107685	2.65
M_1S_3	17	50365	167400	117035	3.32
M_2S_1	17	48350	175140	126790	3.62
M_2S_2	76	66350	177300	110950	2.67
M_2S_3	18	51600	174420	122820	3.38
M_3S_1	40	51070	154440	103370	3.02
M_3S_2	99	69070	155700	86630	2.25
M_3S_3	41	54320	152100	97780	2.80

4. CONCLUSION

From this study, it could be concluded that sowing by manual rotary dibbler along with pre emergence application of atrazine @ 0.75 kg a.i ha^{-1} at 3 DAS followed by power weeder weeding at 20 and 40 DAS (M_2S_1) recorded higher productivity, monetary returns, ~~B:C~~benefit cost ratio. Hence this treatment paved the way for reducing the drudgery, yield and enhancing the profitability.

REFERENCES:

1. FAOSTAT. 2020. FAO Statistics, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome. Available athttp://faostat.fao.org/.
2. 4th Advance estimates of production of food grains 2020-21.Availableat https://www.agricoop.nic.in.
3. Mehta CR, Chandel NS and Senthilkumar T."Status, challenges and strategies for farm mechanization in India." Agricultural Mechanization in Asia, Africa and Latin America. 2014; 45(40):43-50.
4. Bamboriya S, JatS, ShreelathaD, MahalaD and RakshitS. "Mechanized maize production for enhanced productivity and profitability." IIMR Technical Bulletin 1. 2020.
5. Subbiah B and AsijaG. "A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soils." Current science. 1956; 25(8):259-260.
6. Olsen SR. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate: US Department of Agriculture.1954.
7. Stanford G and EnglishL. "Use of the flame photometer in rapid soil tests for K and Ca."1949.
8. Abdul- Baki AA and Anderson JD. Vigor determination in soybean seed by multiple criteria. Crop science.1973; 13 (6):630-633.
9. Balakrishnan, K, SundaramK, NatarajarathinamN and VijayaraghavanH. "Note on the estimation of the leaf area in maize by non-destructive method." Madras Agricultural Journal. 1987; 74(3):160-162
10. Gomez KA and GomezAA. Statistical procedures for agricultural research: John wiley& sons.1984.
11. Khan M, RafiqR, HussainM, FarooqM and JabranK. "Ridge sowing improves root system, phosphorus uptake, growth and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.) hybrids." Measurements. 2012; 22:309-317.
12. Rajaiah P, PadmajaB, SreelathaD and VijayaP. "Performance of Planters under Different Tillage Practices on Growth, Yield, Energy Use Efficiency, and Economics of Rabi Maize." International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2022; 2289-2299.
13. Raihan O, KaurR, ShivayY, DassA and BariSM. "Growth behavior of maize under different crop establishment methods and nitrogen levels." Annals of Agricultural Research. 2017; 38:298-303.
14. Abdullah GH, Khan IA, Khan SA and Ali A. "Impact of planting methods and herbicides on weed biomass and some agronomic traits of maize." Pakistan Journal of Weed Science Research. 2008;14:121-130
15. Manjulatha G, SowjanyaB and RajanikanthE. "Comparative Economics of Maize Cultivation under Conventional and Mechanization." International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2021;11(12):113-118.

16. Chinnappa B, PatilKKR and SowmyaH. "Cost reduction, yield and profit enhancement in maize cultivation through mechanization." Indian Journal of Economics and Development. 2018; 6 (11):1-10.