

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_104475
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic variability, character association and path coefficient analysis for agro-morphological traits in kodo millet (<i>Paspalum scrobiculatum</i> L)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>This research consider more important in the scientific community since it is a nutrient rich but underutilized crop</p> <p>Looking normal, It needs to be improved</p> <p>Some points need to be improved which is mentioned in the text</p> <p>Appropriate</p> <p>Scientifically correct. Some points in variability section consider revising for enhancement</p> <p>Only number quoted in the text is not related with reference section for no.17, remaining is correct and sufficient</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Written part is slightly needs to be improved for easy understanding	
Optional/General comments	Small mistakes found in the text mentioned with track changes for improvement	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K. Shamini
Department, University & Country	Centre for Plant Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India